Tiqqun. (2001). The cybernetic hypothesis. *Tiqqun*, 2, 1-83. Retrieved from http://cybernet.jottit.com/

Trosow, S. (2001). Standpoint epistemology as an alternative methodology for library and information science. *Library Quarterly*, 71(3), 360-382.

United Nations Development Programme. (2005). Human development report 2005.

New York, NY: Hoechstetter Printing Company. Retrieved from http://hdr.undp.org/en/media/HDR05_complete.pdf

Victoria University. (n.d.). Information literacy initiatives in Australia. Retrieved from http://w2.vu.edu.au/library/InfoLit/il_initiatives.htm#mayer

Vishmidt, M. (2010). Human capital or toxic asset: After the wage, part 1. Reartikulacija. Retrieved from http://www.reartikulacija.org/?p=1487

Watson, L. (2003). Lifelong learning in Australia. Canberra: Department of Education, Science and Training. Commonwealth of Australia.

West, R. (1998). Learning for life. Final report review of higher education financing and policy. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.

The Neoliberal Library

Maura Seale Georgetown University

"There really is no alternative" (Thatcher, 1980).

used by both library scholars and practitioners. Pawley (2003) articulates (p. 423). There are, of course, exceptions to this; for example, advocates riety of formats, and the intellectual ability to evaluate such information" this general definition as "the skills to use and locate information in a vait has become "common sense" and is often uncritically and unthinkingly and professional associations such as the American Association of School predominant way to frame the educational role of libraries and librarians; the 1990s (Tuominen, et al., 2005).¹ Information literacy has become the information literacy programs and standards by educational institutions Skills model of information seeking and use in 1990 and the creation of within librarianship and defining it as a core function and mission of the Librarians and the Association of College and Research Libraries since profession. This process continued with the development of the Big Six Age," thus began the process of institutionalizing information literacy which argued "Information literacy is a survival skill in the Information (Tuominen, Savolainen, & Talja, 2005). This strongly worded report, in the 1960s and 1970s, it was not formally codified until this moment the term "information literacy" had been used by library science scholars (American Library Association Presidential Committee, 1989). Although into learning environments and educator training, issued its Final Report information literacy and developing ways to integrate information literacy Information Literacy, which was created in 1987 with the charge of defining In 1989, the American Library Association Presidential Committee on

¹ Hall (2010) notes that the Public Library Association has done very little with information literacy, despite public libraries' investment in providing instruction and training. This essay thus focuses primarily on academic and school librarianship, which have engaged with information literacy to a much greater degree.

of critical information literacy such as Accardi, Drabinski, and Kumbier (2010), Elmborg (2006), Simmons (2005), Jacobs (2008), Doherty (2007), Swanson (2004) and Kapitzke (2003a, 2003b, 2001), and other scholars such as Lloyd (2007, 2009), Tuominen, et al. (2005), and Pawley (2003) both critique this understanding of information literacy and work to revise and reframe it. However, this work tends to be on the fringes of librarianship, rather than at the heart of it.

strange given librarianship and information literacy's ongoing concern theory and education, despite the clear connection to those disciplines and systems. This essay will argue that librarianship must employ the with knowledge and information production and educational practices traditions of related disciplines in a consistent way; this is particularly and the claims of library and information science to interdisciplinarity. literacy is how little it engages with other disciplines, including critical in order to understand and critique its framing of information literacy interventions of scholars in other disciplines around power and politics Librarianship generally fails to engage with the critical and theoretical nant notions of information literacy reinforce and reproduce neoliberal specifically and education more generally. This is crucial, because domineoliberalism by critical theorists and education scholars is the first step cial justice; an engagement with critiques of knowledge production and Neoliberalism is fundamentally anti-democratic and uninterested in sothe upper class through the dispossession and oppression of non-elites. ideology, which is invested in consolidating wealth and power within in developing a notion of information literacy that is critically engaged, contextualized, and promotes social justice. What is striking about this dominant understanding of information

Rethinking Knowledge and Information Production through Critical Theory

In The History of Sexuality, Michel Foucault (1978) argues that during the nineteenth century, rather than repressing sex and sexuality, Western society

Put into operation an entire machinery for producing true discourses concerning [sex]. Not only did it speak of sex and compel everyone to do so; it also set out to formulate the uniform truth of sex. As if it suspected sex of harboring a fundamental secret. As if it needed this production of truth. As if it was essential that sex be inscribed not only in an economy of pleasure but in an ordered system of knowledge. (p. 69)

The creation of discourses around sex allowed the production of knowledge about sex that could then be known. It allowed the "incorporation of perversions" and a "specification of individuals" (p. 42-43); perversion could be identified and it was no longer a behavior, but rather inhered to an individual. This individual could then be identified and subjugated, as power operates through discourse. In Foucault's theorization, power "is produced from one moment to the next, at every point, or rather in every relation from one point to another. Power is everywhere; not because it embraces everything, but because it comes from everywhere" (p. 93). Discursive formations are the systems through which power operates and through which it is contested or supported; power relations are embodied in the state, the law, and in social hegemonies (p. 92-93). Power works not only by prohibiting certain forms of discourse but also through the production of discourses. In essence, power operates through knowledge production.

Edward Said, in *Orientalism* (1994), uses Foucault's articulation of the nexus of discourse, knowledge, and power to trace the formation of Oriental Studies as a discipline. Orientalism, Said argues, is a discursive formation, the essence of which is the "ineradicable distinction between Western superiority and Oriental inferiority" (p. 42). It is "a Western style for dominating, restructuring, and having authority over the Orient" (p. 3) through the production of knowledge about the Orient, but only specific forms of knowledge, for it is also a "set of constraints upon and limitations of thought" (p. 42). Orientalism is not invested in "empirical data" about the Orient, but rather "representing institutionalized Western knowledge of the Orient" (p. 67-69); it is a "closed system, in which objects are what they are because they are what they are, for once, for all time, for ontological reasons that no empirical material can either dislodge or alter" (p. 70). The discourse of Orientalism cannot be removed from relations of power:

"it is, above all, a discourse that is by no means in direct corresponding relationship with political power in the raw, but rather is produced and exists in an uneven exchange with various kinds of power, shaped to a degree by the exchange with power political...power intellectual...power cultural...power moral" (p. 12). Orientalism cannot be separated from the historical and political contexts in which it was produced, but it is also not reducible to those contexts; colonialism did not cause Orientalism, but reinforced it and was reinforced by it. Despite the disappearance of the formal colonialism of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, Orientalism has continued to pervade contemporary discursive practices, attitudes, and policies.

two contradictory ideals - "a promethean vision of citizen empowerment guistic. Pawley (2003) argues that the term "information literacy" embeds material realities are represented in language have effects beyond the linwhich different epistemologies and knowledges are valued due to power production is also historically situated and embedded in power relations the conclusions that can be drawn, as well as emphasize that knowledge discursive practices act to regulate the questions that can be asked and literacy. This version of information literacy would highlight the way ship a framework for developing a more critical version of information Oriental Studies, and discourses, such as psychology, can offer librarianand should be explored. The constructed nature of disciplines, such as mation" (p. 425) - and that ultimately, this tension can be productive and democracy, and, on the other, a desire to control 'quality' of inforthe most basic is that discursive practices matter, that the ways in which class, gender, and sexuality-and knowledge contributes to the maintenance tions-whether capital, colonial relations, or social hierarchies such as race, relations. The production of knowledge never occurs outside power rela of these hierarchies This can help learners recognize their own positionality and the ways in The implications of these theories for librarianship are numerous, but

Moreover, the work of these theorists allows library scholars and practitioners to interrogate their own discipline and discursive formations. Similar to Orientalism, discourse around teaching and learning within

sets of standards reveals (Table 1). sues presented by visual materials" (Association of College and Research essentially duplicate ACRL's Information Literacy Standards for Higher petency Standards for Higher Education, which were recently revised, in this discursive space only refer back to themselves. For example, the acted to close off critique and render other discursive moves irrelevant, instruction, learning, and literacy, the notion of information literacy has over-simplified, and focused turned towards describing information skills" describes information literacy as it is currently envisioned as "reduced, generally accept the frame of information literacy and focus their efforts tion of information literacy. Scholars that do, such as Lloyd (2007, 2009), with these fields and very few interrogate or challenge the dominant noand composition, library practitioners and scholars do not tend to engage and consequent ability to function as a "natural" - and unquestioned encapsulates and contains these discussions due to its institutionalization as a comparison of Standard One, Performance Indicator 1 across all three Information Literacy Standards for Anthropology and Sociology Students, Libraries Visual Literacy Task Force, 2011). This is also true of ACRL's Education, despite the stated goal of "address[ing] some of the unique is-Association of College and Research Libraries' Visual Literacy Cominsignificant, and unimportant even prior to their articulation. Concepts process. However, in the current closed discursive system around library has allowed librarians to articulate and claim a space in the educational on complicating and expanding on the basic premise. Lloyd, for example, Tuominen et al. (2005), and the critical information literacy movement library instruction and research and practice in education, pedagogy, category (Pawley, 2003, p. 445). Despite the obvious linkages between ics are generally framed in terms of information literacy, which in turn librarianship functions as what Said calls a "closed system." These topley (2003) and Tuominen et al. (2005) point out, this rhetorical strategy focus on "binary logic" (2005, p. 337). This is not necessarily bad; as Paw-(2009, p. 245), while Tuominen et al. call attention to its almost exclusive

Table 1: Comparison of Information Literacy Standards and Performance Indicators

>		
Type of Information Literacy	Standard One	Performance Indicators
Information Literacy (Association of College and Rescarch Librarics, 2000)	The information literate student determines the nature and extent of the information need for information.	The information literate student defines and articulates the need for information.
	Outcomes	•

discussions to identify a research topic, or other information need Confers with instructors and participates in class discussions, peer workgroups, and electronic

Develops a thesis statement and formulates questions based on the information need

Explores general information sources to increase familiarity with the topic

Defines or modifies the information need to achieve a manageable focus

Recognizes that existing information can be combined with original thought, experimentation, and/or analysis to produce new information. Identifies key concepts and terms that describe the information need

-		
: 'S'	needed.	
for an image.	extent of the visual materials for an image.	
defines and articulates the need	determines the nature and defines and articulates the need	Literacy Task Force, 2011)
The visually literate student	The visually literate student	Visual Literacy (ACRL Visual The visually literate student The visually literate student

focus of analysis, critique, commentary) Defines the purpose of the image within the project (e.g., illustration, evidence, primary source,

web) of the planned image use Articulates criteria that need to be met by the image (e.g., subject, Defines the scope (e.g., reach, audience) and environment (e.g., academic environment, open pictorial content, color, resolution, specific item) Identifies key concepts and terms that describe

Identifies discipline-specific conventions for image use

	on IL Standards, 2008)
	Literacy Committee Task Force
to do.")	Instruction and Information to do.")
rather as "What the student needs	pology and Sociology Section rather as "What the student needs
not described as a "standard" but	dents (ALA/ACRL/ Anthro- not described as a "standard" but
formation need. (Note: this is	thropology and Sociology Stu- formation need. (Note: this is
Define and articulate the in-	Information Literacy for An- Define and articulate the in-

scope of research questions in anthropology and sociology, using discipline-specific terminology, Identifies and describes a manageable research topic or other information need appropriate to the methods, and contexts.

Reads background sources in anthropology and sociology to increase familiarity with the topic. Examples: Encyclopedia of Social Issues; Encyclopedia of Cultural Anthropology; Sage Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods.

the Human Relations Area Files (HRAF). Recvaluates the nature and extent of the information focused encyclopedias, Thesaurus of Sociological Indexing Terms, and Outline of Cultural Materials of to the topic in preparation for searching for information on it. Examples: uses the disciplineneed to clarify, revise, or refine the question after some initial research, reading, interviews, and Identifies and lists key concepts, terms, social theories, culture groups, places, and names related but rather as "Key behaviors for success." work with data and/or a population have taken place. (Note: These are not described as "outcomes

> to argue that they necessarily need to differ from the original standards, transliteracy, look remarkably similar in library discourse: examine the original Information Literacy standards in the creation of but rather that there seems to have been no effort to contest or critically and reproduce the original Information Literacy standards. This is not the same professional organization, but even newer concepts, such as these documents. These standards were, of course, all produced within Anthropology/Sociology Information Literacy standards simply accept These do not differ in any substantive way. The Visual Literacy and

ing, print, TV, radio and film, to digital social networks" ("Beginner's Guide to Transliteracy," 2010); and platforms, tools and media from signing and orality through handwrit-"Transliteracy is the ability to read, write and interact across a range of

nize when information is needed and have the ability to locate, evaluate, and use effectively the needed information" (ACRL, 2000). "Information literacy is a set of abilities requiring individuals to 'recog-

ity to read and write within a specific context or community; the same tion modes, but again, both essentially hinge on the individual's capacwebsite even notes: Transliteracy, in contrast to information literacy, highlights communica-

a bit of common ground. ("Beginner's Guide to Transliteracy," 2010) program. The two concepts are not mutually exclusive and share quite eracy can help inform and supplement a successful information literacy derstand how people navigate their way across various media. Translitliteracy. Transliteracy began as a descriptive concept, designed to unthinkers in no way intended it to challenge nor replace information Because transliteracy has its origins outside of libraries, the original

of transliteracy not only essentially reproduces the fundamental ideas of communicative language practices and historically influenced attitudes to ground with literacy, which Cook-Gumperz describes as "a complex of it particularly relevant to twenty-first century librarians, but duplicates these practices that unite or divide a community" (2006, p. 17). The notion information literacy, with the addition of new and social media to make Information literacy and transliteracy also share quite a bit of common

outside of the closed system of information literacy is understood outside of the closed system of information literacy discourse. These discursive practices around information literacy reproduce themselves and act to constrain alternative discourses that might critique, contest, and challenge their hegemony; they deploy power and define the truth of teaching and learning within librarianship. The production of this discourse has been built around particular power relations and specific motivations – the goal of professional organizations to claim territory – and this discourse works to maintain power relations, rather than question them. This discursive regime is not dehumanizing in the same ways as those described by Foucault and Said, but it is neither innocent nor the only possible discourse, and, as such must be dismantled to create space for alternative and minority views.

The power relations embedded in discursive practices are, like Orientalism, tied to the historical and political contexts in which they are produced and subsequently operate. Scholars of library history have charted the roles played by gender and class in the formation of the public library (Garrison, 2003) and the work of public libraries in promoting the assimilation of immigrants (Pawley, 2010). Librarianship's interest and investment in classifying and ordering knowledge, in ascribing order to the world, too, are an enactment of power that often reproduces contemporary social, economic, and political inequities as Berman (1971) and Olson (2001) have argued. Although information literacy appears in scholarship prior to 1989, it was only formally codified by the American Library Association Presidential Committee on Information Literacy in its Final Report issued that year. The report begins by asserting "No other change in American society has offered greater challenges than the emergence of the Information Age" (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989) and goes on to say:

Out of the super-abundance of available information, people need to be able to obtain specific information to meet a wide range of personal and business needs. These needs are largely driven either by the desire for personal growth and advancement or by the rapidly changing social, political, and economic environments of American society. What is true today is often outdated tomorrow. A good job may be obsolete next year. To promote economic independence and quality of existence, there is

a lifelong need for being informed and up-to-date. How our country deals with the realities of the Information Age will have enormous impact on our democratic way of life and on our nation's ability to compete internationally. Within America's information society, there also exists the potential of addressing many long-standing social and economic inequities. To reap such benefits, people—as individuals and as a nation—must be information literate." (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989, para. 2)

The report outlines the ways in which information literacy can benefit individuals, business, and citizenship. Access to information can "improve [the] situations" of "minority and atrisk students, illiterate adults, people with English as a second language, and economically disadvantaged people." In terms of business, information is "now our most important, and pervasive resource," while "Information workers now compose more than half the U.S. labor force" (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989). Moreover

there is ample evidence that those who learn now to achieve access to the bath of knowledge that already envelops the world will be the future's aristocrats of achievement, and that they will be far more numerous than any aristocracy in history. (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989)

Perhaps it is somewhat unsurprising then that the report has somewhat less to say about citizenship than it does about individuals and business; it notes that "Any society committed to individual freedom and democratic government must ensure the free flow of information to all its citizens" (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989). The report goes on to call for a "Coalition for Information Literacy," with an advisory committee comprised of "nationally prominent public figures from librarianship, education, business, and government" (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989).

The most striking aspect of this report is how completely and uncritically it embraces neoliberalism, which Harvey (2005) summarizes as "a theory of political economic practices that proposes that human well-being can best be advanced by liberating individual entrepreneurial freedoms and skills within an institutional framework characterized by strong private property rights, free markets, and free trade" (p. 2). This is signaled in numerous ways. The report's continual invocation of the "Information Age," its contention that employment in information-related fields predomi-

protections and services are provided by employers and the state (Harvey, accountable for his or her own actions and well-being," as fewer social this redefinition of freedom are that "each person is held responsible and more and more flexible (Harvey, 2005, p. 38, 75-76). The implications of of the market," in which individual workers must compete by becoming vocabulary of economics and business and is thus reduced to a "freedom well-being of individuals and societies is thus repeatedly framed using the of achievement" (ALA Presidential Committee, 1989). The freedom and ment," "a good job," and "our nation's ability to compete internationally." p. 3-4; p. 36-37). The report's investment in neoliberalism truly emerges, rhetoric of individual freedom that pervades this report (Harvey, 2005, in information technologies, which is key to neoliberal discourse, as is the nates and that empowerment lies in information indicate a deep interest is generally attributed to personal failings, and the victim is all too often become "aristocrats." As Harvey (2005) describes it, "(p)ersonal failure 2010); in contrast, by embracing information literacy, individuals can those inequities are ultimately the fault of those individuals (Saunders, because information literacy can resolve social and economic inequities, that because individuals can choose to become information literate and 2005, p. 65, 75-76; Saunders, 2010). The core logic of this report, then, is It can address "economic inequities" and thereby create an "aristocracy literacy is tied to "business needs," "advancement," "the economic environhowever, through its pervasive appeal to the economic sphere. Information blamed" (p. 76).

The codification and institutionalization of information literacy occurred during and immediately following Ronald Reagan's presidency and Margaret Thatcher's tenure as Prime Minister, during which the welfare state was dismantled, labor was disempowered, industry and banking were deregulated, inequalities in wealth and power intensified, and deindustrialization sped up, as neoliberalism ideology was embraced and implemented by governments in both the United States and United Kingdom (Harvey, 2005; Saunders, 2010). As May (2002) argues, the notion of the "Information Age" or "Information Society" is a rhetorical strategy used to obscure the actual "new" economy, which is dominated by

low-wage and unstable service work rather than well-paying information work requiring higher education (Stevenson, 2009). The ALA Presidential Committee's Final Report duplicates this move and shifts the blame for social and economic inequities onto the very individuals disempowered by those inequities; if an individual cannot find a well-paying job, it is because she or he has not actively pursued information literacy. The report wholeheartedly rationalizes and supports the adoption of neoliberalism that occurred during the 1980s.

Rethinking Political Economy through Educational Theory

context, are not flexible or open, and do not theorize learning as a comand collegial models of decision making, faculty acting like entrepreneurs, is presumed to be quantifiable and is justified and valued economically, as 514). Learning outcomes, as developed and deployed within a neoliberal context of a neoliberal drive towards educational commodification" (p. 507); they "constitute an illusory promise, which is set within the very real argue that "learning outcomes, through their bureaucratisation and mar-66). Brancaleone and O'Brien (2011) theorize learning outcomes and and students being treated and identifying themselves as customers" (p. revenue generation and efficiency, corporate governance replacing shared rationality with higher education, which has resulted in the prioritization of poses of our colleges and universities" through "the infiltration of economic neoliberal ideology "strengthens and extends some of the nefarious purof No Child Left Behind and similar educational reforms in neoliberal pedagogy linked to an ongoing project of democratization in opposition plex, recursive, and unpredictable system of processes. Instead, learning learning and hence, of consciousness, to an economic empirical base" (p. ideology. Saunders (2010) interrogates higher education and argues that neoliberalism on education. Hursh (2007) demonstrates the embeddedness Giroux, a prominent theorist of critical pedagogy, explicitly places critical leading to greater earnings and thus a high return on investment. Henry ketisation, imply the adjustment of one's social relations, mode of work! Educational scholars have recently begun to investigate the impact of

to neoliberalism, for it

offers the possibility of resistance to the increasing depoliticization of the citizenry, provides a language to challenge the politics of accommodation that connects education to the logic of privatization, refuses to define the citizen as simply a consuming subject, and actively opposes the view of teaching as market-driven practice and learning as a form of training. (2004, p. 38)

Educators, he argues, must contest "the increasingly dominant view propagated by neoliberal gurus such as Milton Friedman, that profit making is the essence of democracy and accumulating material goods the essence of the good life" by employing this vision of critical pedagogy (2004, p. 39).

straightforwardly, this work can offer librarianship a way to complicate scholars are applicable to librarianship in two different registers. Most creation to "intellectual property' that should be sold on the open market" "redefinition of research results, discoveries, and creations" from more or tion economics; for example, Saunders (2010) explicitly discusses the current formulations of information literacy by foregrounding informasive practices, the analyses of neoliberalism by educational theorists and their own research practices. More specifically and in line with recent modification of information and knowledge, and apply those insights to contemporary information ecosystem, appreciate the results of the comunder neoliberalism (p. 57). This can help learners more fully grasp the less public goods intended to be shared in order to promote knowledge to discursive practices around information literacy within librarianship gained from applying the critiques of educational scholars and theorists ers articulate the goals of the Open Access movement. The perspective trends within librarianship, this work can also help librarians and learnhowever, is significant and troubling. As with critical theorizations of knowledge production and discur-

In 1998, the American Library Association issued "A Progress Report on Information Literacy," which tracked progress on the recommendations made in the 1989 Final Report. The Progress Report also included several new recommendations:

Recommendation 1: Forum members should encourage and champion the growing support of accrediting agencies...Recommendation 2: Teacher education and performance expectations need to include information literacy skills...Recommendation 3: Librarian education and performance expectations need to include information literacy...Recommendation 4: Forum members need to identify ways to illustrate to business leaders the benefits of fostering an information literate workforce. (American Library Association, 1998)

year: "[T]he average 21st-century worker may need the skills to cope 2005, p. 167-169). This formulation of workers goes unchallenged in an exploitable due to power inequities, and ultimately disposable (Harvey, neoliberal terms as mere "job training" (Giroux, 2004, p. 45) and indi-512). Recommendation four, in a different move, redefines learning in of power and social justice are ignored (Brancaleone & O'Brien, 2011, p concepts "stand for educational product" (Brancaleone & O'Brien, 2011, dations exemplify the neoliberal commodification of education: these expectations and therefore accountability in the first three recommension of neoliberal ideology. The focus on accreditation and performance with as many as seven major employment changes in his or her lifetime" viduals become "a mere factor of production," who are flexible and easily that is, economic, political, and social inequities are erased and notions p. 513). In framing education as a standardized and measurable product, American Libraries article about information literacy published that same "the question of who gets access to educational opportunities" is obscured; (quoted in Pawley, 2003, p. 422). What is revealed in the Progress Report is an intensification and expan-

The neoliberal turn within information literacy discourse is apparent in numerous documents and publications. The ACRL Information Literacy Standards (2000) and the American Association of School Librarians' Standards for the 21st-Century Learner (2007), with their reliance on measurable learning outcomes, embody the commodification of education and the obscuring of inequities (Brancaleone & O'Brien, 2011). These standards also rely on what Saunders (2010) refers to as the "homo oeconomicus," the individual who consumes, is rational and autonomous, and who "no longer [needs] to rely on a larger society or to work together to attend to their

Standards, which take as their subject "the information literate student," and purchases - reinforces the notion that students are consumers and ing" (p. 61). The appeal to "authoritative" sources of information in the to these standards, librarians) "now should be neutral disseminators of tion of faculty teaching in the neoliberal university. Faculty (and according the perceived neutrality of information embody Saunders's (2010) descripguidelines such as the CRAAP test ("Evaluating Information," 2010), and The lack of context in both sets of standards, as well as in practitioner who exists outside of social, political, and economic contexts (ACRL, 2000). class" (p. 47-48). This is particularly obvious in the Information Literacy common issues, problems, and needs nor do they belong to any particular equities exacerbated by neoliberal policies, and renders market forces as off alternate explanations, such as longstanding social and economic inp. 68). As Stevenson (2009) notes, the focus on technological fixes closes "there is a technological fix for each and every problem" (Harvey, 2005, the AASL Standards is also part of neoliberal discourse, which holds that ily job training for disposable workers. The invocation of technology in and Saunders (2010) point out, education under neoliberalism is primar-(American Association of School Librarians, 2007). As Giroux (2004) note that "Technology skills are crucial for future employment needs" Report (1989) and Progress Report (1998), but the AASL Standards do mation literacy and employment that is made explicit in the ALA's Final the ACRL Standards only gesture towards the connection between inforthat information and learning are commodities (Saunders, 2010). Finally, for-profit publishers and thus only available through library subscriptions ACRL Standards and CRAAP test - usually defined as that produced by ideological content" and the classroom should be a "space of sterile learnthe sole solutions to those problems.

The institutionalization of neoliberal ideology within librarianship is even more evident in the work of Megan Oakleaf, whose most recent project was *The Value of Academic Libraries: A Comprehensive Research Review and Report* (2010). It begins:

Government officials see higher education as a national resource. Employers view higher education institutions as producers of a commod-

ity-student learning. Top academic faculty expect higher education institutions to support and promote cutting-edge research. Parents and students expect higher education to enhance students' collegiate experience, as well as propel their career placement and earning potential. Not only do stakeholders count on higher education institutions to achieve these goals, they also require them to demonstrate evidence that they have achieved them. The same is true for academic libraries; they too can provide evidence of their value. Community college, college, and university librarians no longer can rely on their stakeholders' belief in their importance. Rather, they must demonstrate their value. (p. 4)

The logic of the market pervades this paragraph, from education as a commodity, to students and parents as customers, to the importance of measurable evidence and economic value. The report goes on to list recommendations, such as:

"Record and increase library impact on student enrollment"
"Link libraries to improved student retention and graduation rates"
"Enhance library contribution to student job success"
"Track library influences on increased student achievement"
"Demonstrate and develop library impact on student learning"
"Document and augment library advancement of student experiences, attitudes, and perceptions of quality" (Oakleaf, 2010)

Each of these recommendations requires the development of measurable outcomes, the creation of assessment tools, and the gathering of quantitative and qualitative data in order to establish "value," which Oakleaf defines primarily in economic terms: "Value can be defined in a variety of ways and viewed from numerous perspectives...including use, return-on-investment, commodity production, impact, and alternative comparison" (2010, p. 19). The view of library instruction in Oakleaf's work is embedded in a "view of teaching as market-driven practice and learning as a form of training" and completely antithetical to Giroux's articulation of critical pedagogy (2004, p. 38) and yet Oakleaf's work is heavily lauded and embraced by library scholars and practitioners. The Value of Academic Libraries was commissioned by ACRL and in 2011, Oakleaf received the Ilene F. Rockman Instruction Publication of the Year Award. She is frequently invited to speak at library conferences, has received numerous grants, and has been hired by eleven university libraries as

a consultant (Oakleaf, 2012a; Oakleaf, 2012b). For many libraries and librarians, it might make strategic sense to adopt Oakleaf's approach to defining and measuring value, as external administrators pressure them to demonstrate the work they perform, in an era of austerity. However, this sort of strategic use of Oakleaf's work does not require that libraries and librarians accept its underlying neoliberal ideology as true and the only valid way to describe and justify the work of academic libraries and librarians. There is no sense that Oakleaf is maintaining any sort of critical distance in her work on the value of libraries, and this lack is repeated in the reuse of her work within librarianship more broadly; the closed discursive system undoubtedly promotes the uncritical adoption of ideas that seem authoritative and obvious.

Steven Bell, like Oakleaf, is also widely regarded as a leader within librarianship, although he identifies more as a practitioner and less as a scholar. He is a regular columnist for *Library Journal*, publishes a popular blog, "The Kept-Up Academic Librarian," and is currently the vice president/president-elect of ACRL. And as with Oakleaf, neoliberal ideology is at the heart of much of his work. One of his columns, for example, focuses on business practices and publications:

As an ex-business librarian, I developed a great appreciation for business, but there's more to it than that. I want to continuously improve as a "leadager," a leader who also manages. Keeping up with the world of business is a real boon-a constant source of inspiration and ideation. If you think there's no place for business in libraries, think again. Does your library provide chat reference? Guess where that service originated-business call centers. Do you offer self-check technology? That idea evolved from the ATM.

Paying more attention to business isn't selling out. It's about discovering new possibilities to improve the library experience. (Bell, 2011)

Bell not only uncritically ventriloquates business rhetoric but elides the differences between the purposes of chat reference and business call centers, between checking out materials and using an ATM, between learners and customers. However, the economic realm is not just a source of meaningless words for Bell – what he is most interested and invested

in is promoting a vision of higher education as completely subject to neoliberalism. In a column on what he refers to as the "unbundling" of higher education, Bell (2012c) writes approvingly of "start-up firms that see an industry ripe for disruption" and seek to monetize it by offering free or low-cost educational content in response to an "affordability crisis." In another column, he celebrates the increasing popularity of certificates by misreading a study and claiming that "compared to those enrolled in regular degree programs, certificates holders are the ones actually getting jobs" (Bell, 2012b). It is not just the possibility that certificates might improve employment prospects that make them so attractive to Bell; it is also that education for any other reason is not actually important:

We can certainly debate the merits of having our citizens obtain a well-rounded, liberal arts grounded education that prepares them well to be smart, engaged citizens. The supporters of alternate forms of higher education might agree, but would argue that it's just too darn expensive for most of those good-citizens-to-be. (Bell, 2012b)

Bell's contempt for education that is not completely subject to market imperatives is even more obvious in another column, purportedly about library service:

If you want to rile up faculty and academic librarians, just refer to higher education as a business. It can be incredibly off putting to many higher education personnel to suggest their work is in any way connected to profit making or any form of consumer-oriented exploitation that comes along with commerce. A new report is recommending that higher education might achieve transformative improvements by finally admitting the need to focus on functioning like any other business by shifting to a more customer-oriented operation...

The other thing you can do to agitate your colleagues is to consistently refer to students as customers. They dislike this because it suggests we are salespeople who now operate under the principle that the customer is always right. It also cheapens the noble causes and lofty values of the delivery of higher education. Customer service representatives and enlightenment don't mix. What if that thinking is plain wrong?...

I can already hear the negative reactions. "Looks like another business fad is being sold to us as the way to save higher education." "Let's see how quickly the administrators can lose interest in this waste of time."

Unlike the naysayers, this report strongly resonates with me because I've been arguing for years that academic librarians need to pay closer attention to the library experience received by every community member. (Bell, 2012a)

of Karl Polanyi, points out, within a neoliberal framework, discourse within librarianship. But as Harvey (2005), drawing on the work due to this resonance that they have become a key part of the dominant with the core values of librarianship (ALA, 2004), and it is undoubtedly more choices, and greater freedom are powerful, and strongly resonate and the freedom to choose. These ideas of better service, increased access, obviously empower users by offering them both a wider range of choices and practices are portrayed by Bell as fundamentally positive, as they the growth in vocational certificates, and the application of business goals users, often through instruction. The "unbundling" of courses and degrees, neoliberal subtext of his work is often cloaked by his emphasis on serving wilderness, but his ideas dominate discourse within librarianship. The Bell is so eagerly anticipating and that those "naysayers" are so strongly vey, 2005, p. 76). This is the "disruptive and transformative change" that to the systematic defunding of education under neoliberal policies (Harcreate new knowledge; they learn to earn, and take on debt to do so, due resisting and challenging. Bell repeatedly casts himself as the voice in the tempt to critically engage with already existing knowledge, nor do they positions in the neoliberal economy. These customer students do not atwho consume it in order to compete for similar sorts of low-wage service workforce of adjunct professors (and librarians) employed by wealthy of uncertain quality, produced by a de-professionalized and low-wage for-profit institutions, most likely delivered online to customer-students, move by Bell, this will somehow, in an unspecified way, help students to a decrease in public funding - but because, in a particularly insidious must act like a business - not because institutions are under pressure due tion outside of the market system as a hodgepodge of "noble causes" and What Bell envisions for higher education, then, is an educational product "lofty values" that are outdated and irrelevant. Instead, higher education Bell summarily dismisses any sort of education that attempts to func-

The idea of freedom 'thus degenerates into a mere advocacy of free enterprise,' which means 'the fullness of freedom for those whose income, leisure and security need no enhancing, and a mere pittance of liberty for the people, who may in vain attempt to make use of their democratic rights to gain shelter from the power of the owners of property.' [...] The good freedoms are lost, the bad ones take over. (p. 37)

justice (Harvey, 2005, p. 42, 65). Harvey (2005, p. 69) also argues that system (ALA, 2004). However, dominant discourse within librarianship, service, and social responsibility and subverts neoliberal ideology by framneoliberal states are generally hostile towards and have consistently acted only in the marketplace and are disconnected from any sort of social sumerism and individual libertarianism," and, as such, are guaranteed envisioned by dominant discourses within librarianship are not the only sive to so many library scholars and practitioners, who fail to realize the in this context that the work of Bell and Oakleaf have become so persuaas well as in broader society, tends to elide distinctions between different ing these values as "public goods" and as existing outside of the market core values of librarianship, carefully unpacks ideas of democracy, freedom, particularly in an American context. The ALA, in its articulation of the like freedom that would seem to be bound up with the idea of democracy, to limit democratic governance, despite their constant invocation of ideas of ideology, but it cannot do this work in its current formulation, which futures available. A re-envisioned or perhaps even a critical information implications of neoliberal ideology, and who fail to realize that the futures democratic choice, and freedom in the market becomes democracy. It is varieties of freedom and so consumer choice becomes synonymous with works against social justice; it consolidates wealth and power among a as Harvey (2005) argues, is fundamentally anti-democratic and actively mation literacy and by and large, it remains unchallenged. Neoliberalism, closed system of library discursive practices, including those around intorignores power and context. Neoliberal discourse is at the center of the literacy could begin to work towards this sort of parsing and contestation few and works to disempower the great majority of people, particularly those who are already oppressed due to hierarchies of race, class, gender, Notions of choice and freedom have become tied to "differentiated con-

nationality, and sexuality, and information literacy discourse uncritically participates in this.

Innocence and Alternatives

reshaped to fit market imperatives, as librarianship struggles to define its work to challenge neoliberal discourse rather than eagerly adopting it; it of meanings" (p. 39). Information literacy, if theorized differently, could roux (2004), "critical pedagogy at its best attempt to provoke students to of power that naturalizes and authorizes neoliberalism and constrains the a closed discursive system that works to deny alternative conceptions of field's embeddedness within a neoliberal political and economic context due to market forces. Library instruction and pedagogy specifically and role in late capitalism, as librarians and scholars become disposable workers but it is crucial at this historical moment, as education continues to be p. 198). This would entail an almost complete rethinking of the concept, to critical analysis and thereby work to derive alternatives (Harvey, 2005 could truly become a form of critical pedagogy and subject neoliberalism move beyond the world they already know without insisting on a fixed set deliberate, resist, and cultivate a range of capacities that enable them to through "accumulation by dispossession" (Harvey, 2005, p. 199). To Gipower among elites, and the subversion of democracy, accomplished greater income inequality, the consolidation of economic and political ism is characterized by the dismantling of social services and programs, questioning of inequalities. The dominance of neoliberal discourse within and continues to uncritically adopt and reproduce neoliberalism within and engaging critically with that context in order to open up a discursive the degradation of the environment, the creation of the disposable worker, librarianship results in a movement away from social justice, as neoliberal librarianship and information literacy discursive practices is an enactment library pedagogy and instruction (p. 38). The knowledge produced by information literacy, from its emergence to its recent formulation, has librarianship more generally needs to begin promoting an awareness of the As Henry Giroux (2004) contends, "pedagogy is never innocent," and

space in which alternatives to neoliberalism can be conceptualized and implemented. For there are alternatives, even following three decades of the neoliberal policies and practices that began with Thatcher and Reagan.

{eferences

Accardi, M. T., Drabinski, E., & Kumbier, A. (2010). Critical library instruction: Theories and methods. Duluth, Minn.: Library Juice Press.

American Association of School Librarians. (2007). Standards for the 21th-century. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/aasl/sites/ala.org.aasl/files/content/guide-linesandstandards/learningstandards/AASL_LearningStandards.pdf

American Library Association (1998). A progress report on information literacy: An update on the American Library Association Presidential Committee on Information Literacy: Final report. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/acrl/publications/whitepapers/progressreport

American Library Association (2004). Core values of librarianship. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/offices/oif/statementspols/corevaluesstatement/corevalues American Library Association/Association of College and Research Libraries/

Anthropology and Sociology Section Instruction and Information Literacy Committee Task Force on IL Standards. (2008). Information literacy standards for anthropology and sociology students. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/acrl/standards/anthro_soc_standards

American Library Association Presidential Committee on Information Literacy. (1989). Final report. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/acrl/publications/white-papers/presidential

Association of College and Research Libraries (2000). Information literacy competency standards for higher education. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/acrl/standards/informationliteracycompetency

Association of College and Research Libraries Visual Literacy Task Force. (2010).

ACRL visual literacy competency standards for higher education. Retrieved from http://www.ala.org/acrl/standards/visualliteracy

Beginner's guide to transliteracy « libraries and transliteracy. Retrieved from http://librariesandtransliteracy.wordpress.com/beginner's-guide-to-transliteracy/

Bell, S. (2011). A little business won't hurt—and it may help. From the Bell Tower. Retrieved from http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2011/12/opinion/steven-bell/a-little-business-wont-hurt-and-it-may-help-from-the-bell-tower/

Bell, S. (2012a). Blueprinting for better library customer service. From the Bell Tower. Retrieved from http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2012/06/opinion/steven-bell/blueprinting-for-better-library-customer-service-from-the-bell-tower/

Bell, S. (2012b). More certificates – less research. From the Bell Tower. Retrieved from http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2012/06/opinion/steven-bell/more-certificates-less-research-from-the-bell-tower/

Bell, S. (2012c). Unbundling higher education. From the Bell Tower. Retrieved from http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2012/02/opinion/unbundling-higher-education-

Berman, S. (1971). Prejudices and antipathies: A tract on LC subject headings concerning people. Scarecrow Press, Metuchen, New Jersey.

Brancaleone, D., & O'Brien, S. (2011). Educational commodification and the tion, 32(4), 501-519. doi 10.1080/01425692.2011.578435 (economic) sign value of learning outcomes. British Journal of Sociology of Educa-

Cook-Gumperz, J. (2006). The social construction of literacy. Cambridge, UK; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Doherty, J. J. (2007). No shhing: Giving voice to the silenced: An essay in support from http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/ of critical information literacy. Library Philosophy and Practice, 9(2). Retrieved

Doherty, J. J., & Ketchner, K. (2005). Empowering the intentional learner: A critical theory for information literacy instruction. Library Philosophy and Practice, 8(1). Retrieved from http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/

Elmborg, J. (2006). Critical information literacy: Implications for instructional practice. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 32(2), 192-199.

Evaluating Information: Applying the CRAAP Test. Retrieved from http://www. csuchico.edu/lins/handouts/eval_websites.pdf

Foucault, M. (1978). The history of sexuality. New York: Pantheon Books.

Garrison, D. (2003). Apostles of culture: The public librarian and American society, 1876-1920. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Giroux, H. A. (2010). Bare pedagogy and the scourge of neoliberalism: Rethink Giroux, H. A. (2004). Critical pedagogy and the postmodern/modern divide: Towards a pedagogy of democratization. Teacher Education Quarterly, 31(1).

184-196. doi 10.1080/00131725.2010.483897 ing higher education as a democratic public sphere. Educational Forum, 74(3),

Harvey, D. (2005). A brief history of neoliberalism. Oxford; New York: Oxford Hall, R. (2010). Public praxis: A vision for critical information literacy in public libraries. Public Library Quarterly, 29(2), 162-175.

Hursh, D. (2007). Assessing 'No Child Left Behind' and the rise of neoliberal University Press.

Jacobs, H. L. M. (2008). Information literacy and reflective pedagogical praxis. education policies. American Educational Research Journal, 44(3), 493-518.

Kapitzke, C. (2001). Information literacy: The changing library. Journal of Ado The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 34(3), 256-262. lescent and Adult Literacy, 44(5), 450-456.

Kapitzke, C. (2003a). Information literacy: A review and poststructural critique. Australian Journal of Language and Literacy, 26(1), 53-66.

Kapitzke, C. (2003b). Information literacy: A positivist epistemology and a politics of outformation. Educational Theory, 53(1), 37-53,

Lloyd, A. (2007). Learning to put out the red stuff: Becoming information literate

through discursive practice. Library Quarterly, 77(2), 181-198.

Luke, A., & Kapitzke, C. (1999). Literacies and libraries: Archives and cybraries Lloyd, A. (2010). Framing information literacy as information practice; Site ontology and practice theory. Journal of Documentation, 66(2), 245-258.

Pedagogy, Culture and Society, 7(3), 467-491.

Oakleaf, M.J. (2012a). Consulting. Retrieved from Retrieved from http://mega-May, C. (2002). The information society: A sceptical view. Malden, MA: Polity Press,. noakleaf.info/consulting.html.

Oakleaf, M.J. (2012b). CV. Retrieved from http://meganoakleaf.info/oakleaf-cvfeb2012.pdf.

Oakleaf, M. J., Association of College and Research Libraries, & American Li-American Library Association. review and report. Chicago, IL: Association of College and Research Libraries, brary Association. (2010). The value of academic libraries: A comprehensive research

Olson, H. A. (2001). The power to name: Representation in library catalogs. Signs: Journal of Women in Culture & Society, 26(3), 639-668.

Pawley, C. (2003). Information literacy: A contradictory coupling. Library Quarterly, 73(4), 422-452.

Pawley, C. (2010). Reading places: Literacy, democracy, and the public library in cold war America. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.

Said, E. W. (1994). Orientalism. New York: Vintage Books.

Saunders, D. B. (2010). Neoliberal ideology and public higher education in the United States. Journal for Critical Education Policy Studies, 8(1), 41-77.

Simmons, M. H. (2005). Librarians as disciplinary discourse mediators: Using the Academy, 5(3), 297-311. genre theory to move toward critical information literacy. portal: Libraries and

Stevenson, S. (2009). Digital divide: A discursive move away from the real inequities. Information Society, 25(1), 1-22. doi:10.1080/01972240802587539

Swanson, T. (2004a). A radical step: Implementing a critical information literacy model. portal: Libraries and the Academy, 4(2), 259.273.

Swanson, T. (2004b). Applying a critical pedagogical perspective to information

literacy standards. Community & Junior College Libraries, 12(4), 65-78.

Thatcher, M. (1980). Press conference for American correspondents in London | Margaret er.org/document/104389 Thatcher Foundation. Retrieved 3/5/2012, 2012, from http://www.margaretthatch-

Tuominen, K., Savolainen, R., & Talja, S. (2005). Information literacy as a sociotechnical practice. Library Quarterly, 75(3), 329-345.