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Abstract
This paper, “A working class critique to the bourgeois policies of dismantling the full tenure and perpetuating renewable contracts affecting library workers” analyses this research problem: What are some of the most adverse effects of the bourgeois policies of their labor flexibility and precariousness by dismantling the lack of labor tenure and promotion, and by perpetuating the renewal of contracts affecting social and labor stability and thus their humane living conditions, health, and happiness of the workers of library teaching, research, and practice? These are its objectives: a) To analyze the advent of labor flexibility and precariousness policies in the neo-liberal economy; b) To analyze the correlation of the adverse effects of labor flexibility and precariousness with the lack of tenure and promotion, and the constant renewal of contracts in librarianship faculty and practitioners; c) To analyze other possible interrelated factors other than flexibility and precariousness that may also have adverse effects on librarianship academy and practice; d) To assess the possible implications this paper may have on public policies for the benefit of librarianship workers both faculty and librarians. Its conclusions based on the sources analyzed is that capitalist classes are eroding the full time tenure contracting and perpetuating the contingent adjunct faculty contracts affecting librarianship faculty and library workers based on the critical epistemology and critical theories and concepts, mainly comparing the U.S., British, Spanish, and Mexican librarianship. Based on some international critics of capitalism and neo-liberal policies (Bouzas and de la Garza, 1998; Berry, 2002; 2005; Boutang, 2004; Giroux, 2012), and underpinned with critical epistemology and critical librarianship concepts (Muela-Meza, 2004; 2007; 2008; 2010a; 2010b; Pawley, 1998; 2003; 2005; 2006; 2009), to analyze these issues as how they affect the workers of librarianship as academics and librarians (as in other non-library milieus e.g. Horner, 2000).
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Una crítica de la clase trabajadora a las políticas burguesas por el desmantelamiento de los contratos laborales permanentes y el
Resumen
Este ensayo, “Una crítica de la clase trabajadora a las políticas burguesas por el desmantelamiento de los contratos laborales permanentes y el perpetuamiento de los contratos renovables afectando a los trabajadores bibliotecarios,” analiza este problema de investigación: ¿Cuáles son algunos de los efectos más negativos de las políticas burguesas de la flexibilización y precariedad laboral por el desmantelamiento de los contratos de base permanentes y promoción laborales y por el perpetuamiento de los contratos renovables afectando la estabilidad laboral y social y por lo tanto las condiciones humanas de vida, la salud y la felicidad de los trabajadores de la enseñanza, investigación y práctica bibliotecarias? Estos son los objetivos: a) analizar el advenimiento de las políticas económicas neo-liberales de la flexibilización y precariedad; b) analizar la correlación de los efectos adversos de la flexibilización y precariedad con la falta de obtención de contratos permanentes y promoción; c) analizar otros posibles factores interrelacionados con la flexibilidad y la precariedad que puedan tener efectos adversos en la academia y práctica de la biblioteconomía; d) valorar las posibles implicaciones de este trabajo sobre las políticas públicas en beneficio de los trabajadores profesores y bibliotecarios de la bibliotecología. Sus conclusiones basadas en las fuentes analizadas es que las clases capitalistas están desmantelando la contratación permanente de base y perpetuando los contratos renovables de profesores y bibliotecarios adjuntos afectando a los trabajadores profesores y bibliotecarios de la bibliotecología, basadas en la epistemología crítica y teorías y conceptos críticos, principalmente comparando la bibliotecología estadounidense, británica, española y mexicana. Basadas en algunos críticos del capitalismo y de las políticas neoliberales (Bouzas and de la Garza, 1998; Berry, 2002; 2005; Giroux, 2012), y fundamentadas en conceptos de la epistemología y bibliotecología crítica (Muela-Meza, 2004; 2007; 2008; 2010ª; 2010b), para analizar como estos asuntos críticos afectan a los trabajadores profesores y bibliotecarios de la bibliotecología (como en otros escenarios no bibliotecarios, e.g. Horner, 2000).
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Introduction
The research problem:

What are some of the most adverse effects of labor flexibility and precariousness the lack of tenure and promotion, and the constant renewal of contracts in librarianship faculty and practitioners?

Aim: To analyse to what extent some of the most adverse effects of labor flexibility and precariousness affect the lack of tenure and promotion, and the constant renewal of contracts in librarianship faculty and practitioners.
Objectives

- To analyze the advent of labor flexibility and precariousness in the neo-liberal economy.
- To analyze the correlation of the adverse effects of labor flexibility and precariousness with the lack of tenure and promotion, and the constant renewal of contracts in librarianship faculty and practitioners.
- To analyze other possible interrelated factors others than flexibility and precariousness that may also have adverse effects on librarianship academy and practice.
- To assess the possible implications this study may have on public policies for the benefit of librarianship faculty and librarians.

Working social class struggles conception

In this paper there is an agreement with Marx and Engels in their Manifesto of the Communist Party (Marx & Engels, 1976a) that since the advent of capitalism those feudal professions such as Physicians, and Lawyers lost their sacred halo and all of them became proletarian professions, of a cognitive or intellectual kind, but still proletarian though. However, there must be made a class distinction where professions and professionals play in favor of bourgeois or capitalist classes or working classes.

Thus, many scholars worldwide from outside librarianship (e.g. Masuda, 1981; Fukuyama, 1989; Huntington, 1993; Castells, 1996; Ferrer, 1995), or from inside librarianship (e.g. Lau Noriega, 2007a; 2007b; 2007c; Coloquio ALCI, 2007; Gorman, 2001; Cronin, 1992; Rodríguez Gallardo, 2001; Hernández Pacheco, 2007; 2000; Uribe Tirado, 2005; Guerrero Valle, 2000; Mann, 1993), not only avoid talking about social class struggles when analyzing social phenomena, but they overtly have taken a full blown partisan position in favor of the dominant bourgeois capitalist classes within and outside librarianship.

Thus, social class struggles do exist and we have to assure we employ them in all discourse (Muela-Meza, 2010a; 2010b; Corrigan & Gillespie, 1978; Robledo, 1975a; 1975b). And as of today, society is still mainly divided into capitalist or bourgeois class, middle class, and working class. Therefore, this paper adopts a point of view from the perspective of the working class, or in defense of the working class people. From this perspective there is a critical view that the capitalist or bourgeois class and seconded by the middle class (both considered as the dominant classes), they only care for the interests of the members of their class. They do not care about the working class (or the subdued classes).

Critical epistemology for a critical librarianship as a theoretical framework

---

3And not only as a politico-ideological discourse, but also as part of the human material evolution since earlier human times (e.g. as studied for more than 3 decades by an evolutionist neuroscientist (Hauser, 2006).
The theoretical framework this paper is based on is the sociological labor concept of the critical epistemology based on the wide international leftist labor and anti-capitalist movements (e.g., Muela-Meza, 2010a; 2008a; 2008b; 1996; 1991; de la Garza Toledo, 2001). This consists on submitting ideological discourses to the social critique to dismantle the ideological and political manipulations of the dominant bourgeois classes against working subdued, exploited and oppressed classes (the working, proletarian and labor classes), so that the latter achieve cognitively understand and be aware of the constant bourgeois capitalist manipulations through a process of analysis, critique, resistance and eventually political engagement to counter attack such manipulations and their manipulators. This is so because people no matter what they say they always have an implicit ideology within their discourse (Lenin, 1905 [1974]).

**Working class struggles for Full Time Tenure Track (FTTT) versus contingent**

At the core of academic class struggles for workers within or outside librarianship is the fight to obtain a Full Time Tenure Track permanent base contracts to assure permanent labor and social security within the job and all the benefits that come with that as a working class scholar criticizes:

“How Class Analysis: The first thing to say about the conditions of contingent faculty in general is hat they have changed radically since the sector began to grow in the 1970s. For analytical purposes, it is defensible to posit that, in fact, these conditions have changed radically enough to meet the dialectical requirements of quantity changing to quality. This means that the progressive deterioration of conditions, pay, security, and independence of action, as compared to full-time tenure track classically “regular” faculty, have proceeded so far and become so standard as the numbers of these contingent faculty have increased in higher education, that the quantity of changes can be posited as having transformed into the qualitative change of a new class line being drawn within higher education institutions. This class line is not meant to be drawn between contingent and regular faculty, though some have attempted to do so, but rather, as contingent faculty have become the majority, this class transformation has set them, now the normative (and majority) group of college faculty, clearly onto the other side of a class line from those who own, control and/or manage institutions of higher education in the United States. It is not so much that contingent faculty have become more differentiated from regular full-time (FTTT) faculty than before, but that they have become the norm. This change, of course has also impacted full-time faculty in many ways. The implications and details of these changes for full time faculty will be discussed in greater detail as a strategic consideration in a later section. Fundamentally, this is the classic proletarianization argument applied to higher education, just as this argument, now nearly universally accepted, was applied starting in the early 1900’s to public school teachers. This class line was the implied theoretical and practical basis for the rise of the AFT.
To analyze the advent of labor flexibility and precariousness in the neo-liberal economy.

According with a labor expert and critic of neo-liberalism (Berry, 2005; 2002), who conducted a PhD dissertation analyzing the issues of academic tenure and the advent of renewable contracts, these issues are deeply rooted and interrelated with capitalism as a political and economical system, and particularly with the new neo-liberal ideology called *flexibility of labor*.

Hence, likewise, according to some Mexican sociologist of labor, the flexibility of labor has emerged along with the neo-liberal phase of capitalism since the 1970s. This is how they try to configure an explanation of this phenomenon that does not have a universal and epistemologically accepted definition:

“Flexibility of labor as a form would be the capability of management to adjust, the employment, the use of the labor in the productive process, and the salary, to the changing conditions of production, but this form might have various contents depending on the conceptions behind them, but above all of the interactions among the actors, the institutions, and standards, and cultures within and outside labor” (Bouzas and de la Garza, 1998, p. 35).

These are issues also analyzed in similar manners by the critical pedagogy (Giroux, 2012), the critical studies of communication (McChesney, 1996; 1998; 2001; McKercher, & Mosco, 2007; Mosco, & McKercher, 2009; Mosco, & Schiller, 2001; Mosco, 2005; 2009), and the critical economic studies (May, 2004).

Thus, by bringing insights from critical studies in labor sociology, critical pedagogy, analyzing some of the most critical consequences of capitalism in academia and scholarly issues, might help the authors, and the audience to bring critical perspectives to capitalism and neo-liberalism, and chances are, to come out with better understanding, and strategies to resist these challenges faced by worldwide academia and in particular LIS practice and theory.

Intrusion of the bourgeois corporation and State into universities.

The intrusion of the bourgeois corporation and the state, the bourgeois class in the public universities and in the public scientific matters is converting education, science, and information inton an appendix without critique in favor of bourgeois corporations (Dilevko, 2009; Mattelart, 2007; Moles, 2006; Muela-Meza, 2005; May, 2004; Bakan, 2004; Marquand, 2004; Verzola, 2004; González, 2002; McChesney, 2001; 1998; 1996; Martin, 1998; Fox 1983).
Dilevko (2009), in his chapter 2. “Professionalism, achievement, and the quantified university” from his book: The politics of professionalism: A retro-progressive proposal for librarianship, addresses precisely how the bourgeois class has converted scientists and scholars since the beginnings of professionalism, and the universities y mere proletarian workers subject to the ups and downs of the class struggles between workers and bourgeois class, critically highlighting these elements where evidence is shown of such proletarianization subdued by the bourgeois, capitalist, and entrepreneurial corporatization, and ethos:

a) The ambiguities of perfectionism and the quest for social status.
b) Professional schools and the market model.
c) The ideology of performance measure and audit culture.
d) Disciplinary aspects of the audit culture.
e) Metrics in universities and accountability in universities.
f) The game of grants.
g) The corporatization of higher education.
h) Scientific research and the culture of competitive performance.
i) The ideology of achievement.
j) Multitasking and triviality.

Conclusions

This paper, based on the sources analyzed, concludes that the capitalist classes are eroding the full time tenure contracting and perpetuating the contingent adjunct faculty contracts affecting librarianship faculty and library workers based on the critical epistemology and critical theories and concepts, mainly comparing the U.S., British, Spanish, and Mexican librarianship. Based on some international critics of capitalism and neo-liberal policies (Bouzas and de la Garza, 1998; Berry, 2002; 2005; Boutang, 2004; Giroux, 2012), and underpinned with critical epistemology and critical librarianship concepts (Muela-Meza, 2004; 2007; 2008; 2010a; 2010b; Pawley, 1998; 2003; 2006; 2009), calls to the academic and library community to employ these concepts to analyze these issues as how they affect the workers of librarianship as academics and librarians (as in other non-library milieus e.g. Horner, 2000), and to some extent to present anti-capitalistic critiques as alternatives to neoliberal flexibility and precarious labor, in order to play a political, and ideological role along with wider leftist political movements, unions, and parties to restore the tenure system in benefit of all library workers’ labor and professional stability.
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