# Open Science and Open Access: strategies for academic libraries

International Conference on Emerging Digital Library Platforms:

Shaping Digital Transformation and National Data Exchange

In association with

Sarada Ranganathan Endowment for Library Science

The Digital Information Research Foundation and

Informatics India Ltd, Bangalore

August 9-12, 2022, Bangalore, India






40 MinutesOpen ScienceOpen AccessStrategies

Role

20 minutes QA & Discussion

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2010pen Science by Design: Realizing a Vision for 21st Century 8. Research. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/25116.







Home > Research and innovation > Strategy > Strategy 2020-2024 > Our digital future > Open Science


#### **Open Science**

PAGE CONTENTS

An approach to the scientific process that focuses on spreading knowledge as soon as it is available using digital and collaborative technology. Expert groups, publications, news and events.

The EU's open science policy

## **Open Science**



Components of Open Science

**Towards a UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science** 


Building a Global Consensus on Open Science





## In pursuit of open science, open access is not enough

Preventing monopolies in knowledge infrastructure is the next battleground for publishers and research institutions

By Claudio Aspesi<sup>2</sup> and Amy Brand<sup>2,8</sup>








## Open Data: research data Management

Research data management (RDM) is assuming an increasingly prominent place in scholarly communication, funder requirements, codes of academic practice, university research strategy, and even national policy.

© OCLC RDM report



- Raw/initially processed data produced at a research facility such as an observatory
- 'Research ready' processed data which has been fully calibrated, combined and cleaned/annotated
- Published output dataset following detailed analysis of research ready datasets
- Published catalogue type
   representation of published output
   dataset







## Open Educational Resources (OER)

Open Educational Resources (OER) are teaching, learning and research materials in any medium – digital or otherwise – that reside in the public domain or have been released under an open license that permits no-cost access, use, adaptation and redistribution by others with no or limited restrictions.







@UNESCO







# Open Source for Open Science

Open research software, or open-source research software, refers to the use and development of software for analysis, simulation, visualization, etc. where the full source code is available. In addition, according to the Open Source Definition, open-source software must be distributed in source and/or compiled form (with the source code available in the latter case), and must be shared under a license that allows modification, derivation, and redistribution.

© The Open Science Training Handbook

## Open Peer Review OPR

Open peer review is an umbrella term for a number of overlapping ways that peer review models can be adapted in line with the aims of Open Science.

FOSTER

Open identities

Open reports

Open participation

Open interaction

Open pre-review manuscripts

Open final-version commenting

Open platforms

+ Transparency

+ Speed

+ Reliability

+ Consistency

+ Context

+ Motivation

Publishers provide peer- reviewers training Peer review part of research profiles Include in our awareness sessions Preprint servers : example




## **Open Notebook Science**

Open notebooks drastically reduce the time frame from bench to publication in the public domain.

**Open-notebook science** is the practice of making the entire primary record of a research project publicly available online as it is recorded. This involves placing the personal, or laboratory, notebook of the researcher online along with all raw and processed data, and any associated material, as this material is generated.

© Wikipedia





Harding RJ (2019) Open notebook science can maximize impact for rare disease projects. PLOS Biology 17(1): e3000120. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000120

https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.3000120

## Scientific Social Networks

"Academic social media" sites are targeted toward researchers and academics, but the same cautions exist here as on Twitter, Facebook, and other more social sites. Be aware of your audience, privacy settings, and your digital reach.







Jordan K (2019) Front. Digit. Humanit. 6:5. doi: 10.3389/fdigh.2019.00005

# Library roles in Researcher Life Cycle

Embedding Openness as default in Research Life Cycle





# Towards Open Science/Research: Institutional approach

Leadership & Policies

Open Access (OA)
Policy

Research Data Management (RDM) Policy

Open Science /
Open Research Policy

**OS Default** 

Develop open science skills among researchers, students and staff Include open science practices in the assessment of individuals and organizations

Allocate budget and revise funding policies based on open science plans

Services & Infrastructure

Institutional Repository
Institutional OA
Publishing Management

RDM Plans, Metadata & DOI services

Institutional Research
Data Infrastructure

Open Science Services
Open Research

Open Research Infrastructure





## Draft 5th National Science, Technology, and Innovation Policy

Draft STIP Doc 1.4, December 2020

### **Chapter 1: Open Science**

- 1.1 National STI Observatory
- 1.2 Indian Science and Technology Archive of Research
- 1.3 Open Data
- 1.4 Open Access
- 1.5 One Nation, One Subscription
- 1.6 Indian Journals
- 1.7 Research Facilities
- 1.8 Open Educational Resources
- 1.9 Libraries
- 1.10 Learning Spaces



# Quad leaders will promote concept of open science: White House







# **New Scholarly Record**





"The content of the scholarly record" by OCLC Research, from The Evolving Scholarly Record (doi:10.25333/C3763V), CC BY 4.0





# From UNESCO open science recommendations

Reviewing research assessment and career evaluation systems in order to align them with the principles of open science. Considering that a commitment to open science requires time, resources and efforts that cannot be automatically converted into traditional academic output, such as publications, but which can have a significant impact on science and society, evaluation systems should take into account the wide breadth of missions within the knowledge creation environment. These missions come with different forms of knowledge creation and communication, **not limited to publishing in peer reviewed international journals** 

© UNESCO 2021

Figure 44 - Open Science elements included in academic assessments Number of respondents: 172/272.





surveyed institutions reported not using any Open Science elements in their academic assessments.

Fully integrate Open Science in reward and incentive practices. For Open Science to become the norm, it must become an integral part of academic assessments. Research funders and institutions play a key role in making this transition possible, by increasingly incorporating Open Science contributions in assessment and restructuring current award and recognition systems.

Note: Only institutions that indicated using at least one Open Science element in their academic assessments are included in this Figure.

From principles to practices: Open Science at Europe's universities 2020-2021 EUA Open Science Survey results, July 2021



List of organisations having expressed interest in being part of a coalition on reforming research assessment (last updated on 16 $^{th}$  May 2022)

More than 300 EU organizations get closer to a consensus on research assessment reform ".... research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation by peers; abandon the "inappropriate uses" of journal and publication metrics; and avoid the use of international rankings of research organizations in research assessment. ...."


#### RETHINKING RESEARCH ASSESSMENT S.P.A.C.E. TO EVOLVE ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT A RUBRIC FOR ANALYZING INSTITUTIONAL PROGRESS INDICATORS AND CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESS FOUNDATION EXPANSION SCALING As institutions increasingly adopt new STANDARDS **Diversification** Adoption Alignment assessment principles and practices, they may FOR SCHOLARSHIP strive to expand the depth of their individual capabilities and develop higher levels of PROCESS MECHANICS Integration AND POLICIES system integration. However, because institutions are naturally at **Proactivity** Transparency and clarity of goals Adherence ACCOUNTABILITY different stages of readiness and evolution, there is no one-size-fits all approach and indicators of progress may not look the same. **CULTURE WITHIN** Inclusion Reflexivity Advocacy at institutional level through reflection INSTITUTIONS Gaining increased scalability requires **EVALUATIVE AND** Articulation **Systematization Improvement** INCREASED DEPTH moving from initial definition to deeper of diverse indicators to gain consistency using feedback loops ITERATIVE FEEDBACK OF CAPABILITY engagement and continual improvement SYSTEMS-LEVEL Building consistency and resiliency into new INTEGRATION practices requires systems-level interconnectedness

## Open Science : Role of Libraries

And their role is that of **enablers**: "Libraries have adapted their role and are now active in the preservation, curation, publication and dissemination of digital scientific materials, in the form of publications, data and other research-related content. Libraries and repositories constitute the physical infrastructure that allows scientists to share use and reuse the outcome of their work, and they have been essential in the creation of the Open Science movement" © OECD, 2015.

- Advocating and raising awareness: promotion of the benefits of Open Science should take place in parallel with the development of
  tools and services, the incentives and recognition mechanisms that support excellence in Open Science. Libraries can advocate within
  institutions to develop open access policies and roadmaps. This will benefit not only researchers, but also other stakeholders at
  institutional level and international level, and even the whole society, promoting Open Science and engaging with citizens.
- Giving support to the infrastructures to share articles or data, including repositories; keeping with their involvement and
  responsibilities in the development and governance of repositories of publications and data, in regards to appraisal, selection,
  description and metadata application, curation and preservation; information retrieval; monitoring data reuse, citation and impact,
  etc.
- Contributing to the development of research data management (RDM) policies and strategies at their home institutions and carrying RDM themselves;
- Training and supporting researchers to open their research workflows, sharing and reusing the research outputs produced by others.
  Besides the necessary research infrastructure, researchers need support at a practical level throughout the whole research cycle.
  Librarians can offer guidance, training and services in: the provision of information during the exploratory stage of research; funding opportunities and requirements; bibliography and data management; applying metadata; identification of open research methods and tools for analysis; outputs sharing and publication; data citation, licensing and other intellectual property issues; preparing data for deposit and long-term preservation of data, among others. For these purposes, librarians need to know their community research practices in regards to information use, production, and sharing, and the platforms, tools and services that they use.

## Open Science Skills for Librarians & Researchers



Discipline-specific skills needed to gractice open science (does not include generic computer skills, wider librariumship skills and personal competencie
 Mapped to LIBEL OS Schooling P Tooss search, Digooray 2.0 framework and POSTER learning resources
 Produced by the LIBER Working Group on Digital Skills for Library Skill & Researchers with input from other LIBER Working Groups, 2020



# Developing the Librarian Workforce for Data Science and Open Science

#### **Data Skills**

Data management plans and data workflows
Data and metadata standards and curation
Data sharing and reuse
Data citation
Data policy and governance

#### **Traditional Library Skills**

Consultation and reference Metadata
Literature searching
Scholarly communication
Bibliometrics
Training and instruction
Assessment and evaluation

#### **Research and Subject Matter Knowledge**

General understanding of the relevant science or subject matter
Research design and workflows
Statistics
Methods for reproducibility

### **Computational Skills**

Computational literacy
Database design
Familiarity with relevant coding languages, such as R and Python

Machine learning and data or text mining Data visualization

#### **Interpersonal Skills**

Team science skills
Entrepreneurship
Advocacy skills
Community building

#### **Skills for Developing Programs and Services**


Interview and assessment skills to understand institutional needs
Scoping and planning for sustainability
Willingness to embrace failure
Communication and marketing skills

#### **Skills for Lifelong Learning**

Flexibility and adaptability
"Anthropological" mindset
Logic and problem-solving
Design thinking
Computational thinking

### Impact of OA2020





Source: https://github.com/subugoe/oa2020cadata/, ESAC Transformative Agreement Registry, TA data last updated: 05-08-2022

Times Higher Education Rankings Study abroad **Events** Home Latest Opinion News Transformative agreements are not holding up open access



Both journals and funders need to do more to support the gold route that authors want and open science needs, says Steven Inchcoombe

July 15, 2022

#### Steven Inchcoombe

Last month, publishers submitted data to the open access cOAlition S showing exactly how "transformative" their transformative journals are proving to be. For most, it is a mixed story.

More of our journals at Springer Nature reach the annual growth in open access content required to be deemed transformative journals - whichever is highest out of 5 per cent absolute and 15 per cent relative to the previous year -



Source: iStock

than all the other publishers combined. But even for us, the picture is mixed, with a number of journals falling short.

#### **Results from the SPARC Member Survey**

We have

#### Exhibit 4: SPARC Survey

14. As a result of COVID-related budget pressure, how likely are you to:

		Very unlikely	Somewhat unlikely	Same	Somewhat likely	Very likely	already chosen to pursue this strategy	Responses
Seek discounts from publishers	COUNT ROW %	2 1.5%	0 <b>0.0</b> %	2 <b>1.6%</b>	10 <b>7.9</b> %	24 <b>18.9%</b>	89 <b>70.1</b> %	127
Unbundle a big deal	COUNT ROW %	9 <b>7.0</b> %	8 <b>6.3</b> %	19 <b>14.8</b> %	28 <b>21.9%</b>	29 <b>22.7</b> %	35 <b>27.3</b> %	128
Make significant cuts to a large journal package	COUNT ROW %	5 <b>3.9</b> %	14 <b>10.9</b> %	15 <b>11.7</b> %	34 <b>26.6%</b>	25 <b>19.5</b> %	35 <b>27.3%</b>	128
Exercise a financial hardship clause	COUNT ROW %	41 <b>32.3</b> %	30 <b>23.6%</b>	18 <b>14.2%</b>	20 <b>15.7%</b>	8 <b>6.3%</b>	10 <b>7.9</b> %	127
Leverage a financial hardship clause	COUNT ROW %	33 <b>25.8%</b>	30 <b>23.4%</b>	16 <b>12.5</b> %	19 <b>14.8%</b>	14 <b>10.9</b> %	16 <b>12.5</b> %	128
Cut staff positions	COUNT ROW %	38 <b>29.9%</b>	27 <b>21.3</b> %	14 11.0%	18 <b>14.2%</b>	7 <b>5.5%</b>	23 <b>18.1%</b>	127
Pursue new contract arrangements (publish & read agreements) with publishers	COUNT ROW %	10 <b>7.8</b> %	14 10.9%	32 <b>25.0</b> %	28 <b>21.9</b> %	25 <b>19.5</b> %	19 <b>14.8%</b>	128

Source: SPARC survey

SPARC\* 2021 Update: SPARC Landscape Analysis and Roadmap for Action

#### Table 1: Strategies Given More or Equal Attention as a Result of COVID-related Issues

[Q15] As a result of COVID-related issues, how has your strategy changed in relation to ...?

		D ATTENTION R RESOURCES	NO CHANGE IN ATTENTION OR RESOURCES		
	us	CANADA	US	CANADA	
Licensed Digital Materials	78%	91%	18%	9%	
Internal Digitization Efforts	61%	82%	35%	9%	
Supporting OER Adoption	56%	82%	38%	18%	
Expanding Use of Controlled Digital Lending	57%	64%	43%	36%	
Supporting OER Creation	44%	73%	48%	27%	
Supporting Open Access Publication	40%	64%	50%	27%	
Investment in Open Infrastructure Projects	24%	73%	71%	27%	
Library Publishing	17%	36%	81%	64%	

# **SPARC Big Deal tracker**

SUNY (State University of New York System)	2020	United States	Elsevier	SUNY has closely tracked the marketplace for the last two years and believes the price of	approximately	list of	\$7,000,000			
			Virginia Tech	2021	United States	Elsevier	Vin ne; Els col 200 ma she du fal CO alr tog mo app col bu cru tha bal	c universities in rginia who have gotiated their sevier Big Deals llectively since 09 were faced with ajor budget ortfalls for 2021 e to the economic lout from OVID-19. We were eady working gether to build a ore sustainable proach to llections spending, t the COVID inch accelerated at process. To lance our budgets	For 2021, we subscribed to 228 titles on an a la carte basis.	\$1,248,908
https://bigdea	l.sparcopen.o	org/cancellations	S				mo inv tar spe we col ap mi wil tab	d make room for ore diverse vestments, we set a reget of 50% cut in rend, and overall reached 49.1% ellectively - saving proximately \$4 ell. statewide. We ll be back at the ole this year to gotiate terms for		

## Combination of 3 routes to reach 100% Open Access

Route 1	Route 2	Route 3
Open Access only publishing venues (Gold journals or such platforms) Immediate Open Access	Institutional Repository route  Delayed (0 to 24 months) Open Access	Transition from subscription to publishing model (Hybrid journals) Immediate Open Access
Institutional Membership/OA Agreement. CC-BY License	Authors deposit Author's Accepted Manuscript (AAM) and made openly available. Copy right and reuse restrictions	Change from subscription agreement to read and publish / offset agreements with publishers.  CC-BY License
<ul> <li>APCs can be negotiated down</li> <li>Centralized invoice management and reporting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Establish repository and Open Access policy</li> <li>Integration with other platforms and search engines</li> <li>Value added services</li> <li>Support global OA infrastructure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Negotiate transformative deals and avoid double dipping</li> <li>Support models like Diamond, S2O, SCOAP3 etc</li> <li>Centralized invoice management and reporting</li> </ul>

## Researcher **OA** actions

Submitted version Author's original Pre-print

Submit to publisher

Peer review

Edit

Accepted version Post-print MAA

Accepted by publisher

Copy-editing and typesetting

Publication

**Support global OA** infrastructure including **Preprint servers** 

**More awareness** 

**Establish OA Policy &** repository.

**Repository Integrations** to CRIS, ORCID, PlumX, search engines.

Value added services host research data, **DOIs to datasets etc** 

Negotiate transformative, off-set or discount subscription agreements.

**Transform subscription** budget to publishing budget.

**Author fund & Library** publishing






Published version Version of record

Reco

/ersion


### OA availability by percent (SciVal / Unpaywall data)



Vrije 76%
Amsterdam 75%
Oxford 75%
Cambridge 74%
Caltech 71%
KAUST 69%
Imperial College 69%
ETH 68%
MIT 66%
Harvard 62%
Stanford 60%


All India level during 2018-2021 - **27%** 

IISc 38.6% Manipal 43.5%, All leading IITs are below 30%



## Library Collection: Measuring success (early thoughts):

- How to assess the success of a library collection?
- Number of papers downloaded OR number of papers supported for OA publication?
- Percentage of OA articles published through library's OA agreements and collection budget
- Would faculty expectations about the Library collection change? (It changed from holdings to access, this may change from access to publish
- Are you negotiating access rights or publishing rights?
- How do we balance to cost between
  - Research publishing intensive institutions Vs less publishing organizations?
  - Developed and Less Developed nations



# Thank you

