

# Quasi-Metric Space I

Frederick Temple

August 23, 2023

## Abstract

Inspired by the work of Adhya and Ray, I provide my own proof of selected theorems and lemmas discussed in [1]. Original theorems should appear, in due course, in a future article.

**Theorem 1.** *Every singleton set in a  $\mu$ - $T_1$  strong generalised topological space is  $\mu$ -closed.*

*Proof.* All singletons in a singleton set are elements of the power set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . This means that there exist  $B_1, B_2 \in \mu$ , for each pair  $x, y \in X$  (with  $x \neq y$ ), such that  $x \in \{x\}, y \notin \{x\}$  and  $y \in \{y\}, x \notin \{y\}$ . Because  $x, y \in \mu$  and  $X \in \mu$ , due to  $(X, \mu)$  being a strong generalised topological space,  $\emptyset$  can only be in  $\mu$  if  $X$  is both  $\mu$ -closed and  $\mu$ -open. If each singleton set,  $\{x\} \in X$ , is not  $\mu$ -closed then  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\}$  is not  $\mu$ -closed. This is a contradiction. Using the same logic, every singleton set in a  $\mu$ - $T_1$  strong generalised topological space is also  $\mu$ -open.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *A metric space is Lebesgue if and only if every pseudo-Cauchy sequence having distinct terms clusters in it.*

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Let  $x_m$  and  $x_n$  both cluster to  $x$ . Let  $d(x_m, x) < \delta_1$  when  $m \in \mathbb{N} > k_1$  and let  $d(x_n, x) < \delta_2$  when  $n \in \mathbb{N} > k_2$ . Assume that the function,  $f$ , is uniformly continuous - this means that  $\forall \epsilon \exists \delta > 0$  such that if  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \in X$  with  $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$  then  $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \epsilon \forall x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Let  $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$  - this means that when  $n, m > k$ ,  $d(x_n, x_m) < d(x_m, x) + d(x_n, x) < \delta_1 + \delta_2 = \delta$ . Therefore  $d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < f(\delta) = \epsilon$ . Allowing  $f(x) = y$  implies that both  $f(x_m)$  and  $f(x_n)$  cluster to  $y$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) Let,  $\forall \delta/2 > 0$ ,  $d(x_n, x) < \delta/2 \forall n > k_x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $d(x_n, x_m) < d(x_n, x) + d(x_m, x) < \delta/2 + \delta/2 = \delta \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} > k_x$ . Because  $f$  is continuous, this implies that (for  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $x \mapsto f(x) = y$ ),  $d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$ ,  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} > k_y$  (for all  $x \in X$ ). This implies that  $d(f(x_n), f(x)) < \epsilon/2 \forall n > k_y \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that  $f$  is also uniformly continuous.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be  $g$ -quasi metric spaces of the same index  $r$ . A sequence  $(x_n, y_n)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy in  $(X \times Y, d_{XY})$  if and only if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are  $G$ -Cauchy in  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  respectively.*

*Proof.* (  $\implies$  ) Let  $(x_n, y_n)$  be G-Cauchy in  $(X \times Y, d_{XY})$ . Choose  $\epsilon > r$ . Then  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_{XY}((x_n, y_n), (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) < \epsilon, \forall n \geq k$ . That is  $d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}), d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \epsilon \forall n \geq k$ . Then  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are G-Cauchy in  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , respectively.

(  $\impliedby$  ) Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be G-Cauchy in  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , respectively. Choose  $\epsilon > r$ . Then  $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \epsilon \forall n \geq k_1$  and  $d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \epsilon \forall n \geq k_2$ . Set  $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ . Then  $d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}), d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \epsilon \forall n \geq k$ . Hence  $(x_n, y_n)$  is G-Cauchy in  $(X \times Y, d_{XY})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be g-quasi metric spaces of the same index  $r$ . A sequence  $(x_n, y_n)$  is pseudo-Cauchy in  $(X \times Y, d_{XY})$  if and only if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are pseudo-Cauchy in  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  respectively.*

*Proof.* (  $\implies$  ) Let  $(x_n, y_n)$  be pseudo-Cauchy in  $(X \times Y, d_{XY})$ . Choose  $\epsilon > r$ . Then  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_{XY}((x_n, y_n), (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) < \epsilon, \forall n \geq k$ . That is  $d_X(x_p, x_q), d_Y(y_p, y_q) < \epsilon \forall p, q \geq k$ . Then  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are pseudo-Cauchy in  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , respectively.

(  $\impliedby$  ) Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be pseudo-Cauchy in  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , respectively. Choose  $\epsilon > r$ . Then  $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_X(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon \forall p, q \geq k_1$  and  $d_Y(y_p, y_q) < \epsilon \forall p, q \geq k_2$ . Set  $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ . Then  $d_X(x_p, x_q), d_Y(y_p, y_q) < \epsilon \forall p, q \geq k$ . Hence  $(x_n, y_n)$  is pseudo-Cauchy in  $(X \times Y, d_{XY})$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Sugata Adhya and A. Deb Ray. On a generalization of quasi-metric space. *arXiv*, 2023.