Duplication issues with the new interface of *Scopus*

Bakthavachalam Elango

Como citar este artículo:

Elango, Bakthavachalam (2024). "Duplication issues with the new interface of Scopus". *Infonomy*, 2(1) e24015. *https://doi.org/10.3145/infonomy.24.015*



Bakthavachalam Elango

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8938-0155 https://www.directorioexit.info/ficha6493 Rajagiri College of Social Sciences Department of Library and Information Science & Centre of Excellence in Journalology Kochi 683 104 Kerala, India elangokb@yahoo.com

Funding: None

Conflict of interest: The author has no conflict of interest to disclose. **Use of AI text:** To improve the phrasing and readability, writing tools such as *QuillBot* were used.

Abstract

This short communication discusses the duplication issue in *Scopus*'s new interface. After searching for bibliographic records, the author noticed many duplicates in the downloadable file. This issue lasted a month, with 67% of records duplicated. After alerting *Scopus*, the new version was confirmed to have a duplication issue, prompting a recommendation to revert back to the old version. The study advises caution when utilizing *Scopus* data, particularly when exporting large files. Additionally, it highlights the novel attributes of the *Scopus* interface, including the ability to download 20,000 records at a time, a notable increase from the previous limit of 2,000.

Keywords

Scopus; Bibliometrics; Bibliographic records; Duplication; Journalology; File import; File export; Downloading files.

1. Introduction

Scopus, an abstract and indexing database with full-text links, was introduced by *Elsevier* in 2004, as an alternate to the Web of Science. Its name, *Scopus*, was derived from the bird known as Hammerkop (*Scopus umbretta*), which is reputed to its exceptional navigational abilities (**Burnham**, 2006). Among the vast array of curated abstract and citation databases, *Scopus* stands as one of the largest, encompassing a comprehensive range of scientific journals, conference proceedings, and books on a global scale. Its commitment to ensuring the highest quality of indexed data by an independent *Content Selection and Advisory Board* (**Baas** *et al.*, 2020). Since its inception, numerous studies undertaken to evaluate *Scopus*'s coverage (**Singh** *et al.*, 2021; **Pranckutė**, 2021; **Mongeon** & **Paul-Hus**, 2016; **Vera-Baceta** *et al.*, 2019). Most importantly, *Scopus* maintains an ongoing assessment of journals submitted by publishers for indexation, ensuring the continual verification of quality (**Krauskopf**, 2018).

A study conducted by **Thelwall** (2018) found that all *Scopus* articles with DOIs were found in *Dimensions* (97% in 2012) and claimed that the *Dimensions* proved to a viable alternative to *Scopus* and *Web of Science* for general citation analyses and citation support. In a recent assessment, **Thelwall & Sud** (2022) examine the changes in the extent of coverage provided by *Scopus*, a prominent citation index, over a span of 121 years starting from 1900. Since *Scopus*'s coverage is limited to a fraction of journal publications outside of Europe and North America, and as such, it cannot be classified as a truly global database (Tennant, 2020).

Despite facing some criticism, the *Scopus* database is employed not solely for bibliometric evaluations (**Elango** *et al.*, 2019; **Elango** *et al.*, 2023), but also for the purpose of ranking frameworks on a global scale, such as the *Times Higher Education World University Rankings*, as well as on a national level, such as the *National Institutional Ranking Framework* in India. In addition to this, some researchers have integrated the *Scopus* data into their respective research studies (**Ceasar & Ignacimuthu**, 2023).

2. New Scopus interface

Scopus has implemented a trial version of its new interface as of August 2022, which boasts a range of novel features. Notably, users are now able to download up to 20,000 records or first 20,000 records at a time, a substantial increase from the previous limit of 2,000 records in the older version.

Our college library has subscribed to the *Scopus* database. In the second week of December 2023, we undertook a retrieval of pertinent bibliographic records using the following search string:

ethnobotan* OR ethnovetr* OR ethnomed* OR "traditional knowledge" OR "alternative medicine" OR "Herbal medicine" OR "Folk Medicine" with a particular focus on Indian affiliations.

Regrettably, we discovered a significant number of duplicate records within the downloaded file. To verify this occurrence, we contacted other subscribing institutions, who subsequently confirmed the presence of these duplicates.



Figure 1. Search results in Scopus

Even after a month, this issue persisted until January 19, 2024. For instance, the aforementioned search parameters yielded a total of 12,034 records (see Figure 1), of which 67% were found to be duplicates (see Figure 2), indicating a two-thirds duplication rate. After informing the Scopus team of this dilemma, they acknowledged the existence a duplication problem in the new version. As a resolution, they recommended reverting back to the old version (see Figure 3). Consequently, a cautious message has been incorporated into the new interface, alerting users to the possibility of encountering missing or duplicate records when exporting large files containing more than 2,000 records (see Figure 4).

3. Remarks

In order to optimize the utilization of Scopus data, it is imperative to exercise a significant level of caution. The context under which the data is obtained bears no significance; this remains a constant reality.

1	E 5·∂· ≠	scopus (by new interface) - 19.01.2024 - Excel														
File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Dato Review View WPS PDF 🖗 Tell me what you want to do																
E		Show Queries		Connections		**	Clear			~	Bar				-	
L		From Table	LØ F	Properties	Z AZ	1 ₇₀	Reapply			0	8.0			!!!?	W	
Fr	om From From From Other Existing	lew Co Recent Sources	Refresh] Edit Links	Z↓ Sort	Filter	Advanced	Text to Flash	Remove [Data Co	insolidate Re	elationships	Manage	What-If	Forecast (
Get External Data Get & Transform Connections						Sort & Filter		Data Tools						Enverast		
								, Data roots						Torco	231	
	▼ : × ✓ ƒx Pande	y A.K.; Pradhan S.; Bux	F.													
1	A B C D	E F C	6 1	н	J	К	L	M N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	
1	Authors Author ful Author(s) Title Yea	ar Source tit Volu	me Issue	Art. No.	Page start	Page end I	Page coun	Cited by DOI	Link	Docume	nt Publicati	io Open Ac	Source	EID		
2	Pandey A. Pandey, A 571962621 Quantitati	2024 Ethnobota	28	5				0 10.328	859/ https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	81965667	
3	Patel D.K. Patel, Din 550701777 Herbal Me	2024 Current Tr	10	4 e2305232	21	27	6	0 10.217	74/2: https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	76577120	
4	Nandi S.S. Nandi, Sh 572023833 Herbal Dru	2024 Reference Part	1659		509	549	40	0 10.100	07/9 ⁻ https://w	Book cha	ap Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	80430315	
5	Ali S.A.; D Ali, Syed / 572215355 Protective	2024 Journal of	323	117700				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	81804420	
6	Kandari L. Kandari, L 92461314C Ethnobota	2024 Journal of	30	1	39	52	13	0 10.108	30/11 https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	68345931	
7	Shukla A.F Shukla, A. 572187655 Therapeut	2024 Journal of	43	100815				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	79056986	
8	Khan M.U. Khan, Mol 579251381 Ameliorat	2024 Journal of	318	116900				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	64997250	
9	Wal P.; Az Wal, Pran. 361159505 Herbs for	2024 Current Tr	10	5 e1306232	30	45	15	0 10.217	74/2: https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-52.0-85	76463074	
10	Chechani Chechani, 572185825 Psidium g	2024 Combinat	27	1	2	39	37	0 10.217	74/1 https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	80874724	
11	Sivakumai Sivakumai 571968028 Anti-snak	2024 Indian Jou	58	1	187	197	10	0 10.553	80/ij https://w	Article	Final	All Open	Scopus	2-s2.0-85	180681086	
12	Sahoo R.H Sahoo, Ra 5.88E+10 Understar	2024 Stress, Wellnes	s, and Per	formance Optin	197	224	27	0 10.120	01/9 https://w	Book cha	ap Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	80063727	
13	Patel D.K. Patel, Din 5.51E+10 Biological	2024 Current Tr	10	5 e0806232	1	3	2	0 10.217	74/2: https://w	Editorial	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	76611114	
14	Gurjar V.K Gurjar, Vir 5.72E+10 Medicinal	2024 Reference Part	1659		575	601		0 40 400		Dealester	a Florad		copus	2-s2.0-85	80456157	
15	Verma V. Verma, Vi 5.72E+10 The Herba	2024 Current Tr	10	2 e0803232	1	18	Microso	oft Excel					copus	2-s2.0-85	76244767	
16	Semwal B Semwal, E571940565 An Overvi	2024 Natural Pr	14	1 e2704232	40	50							copus	2-s2.0-85	77679255	
17	Khan J.; Ya Khan, Jave 586391774 Herbal Co	2024 Natural Pr	14	1 e1804232	77	88		8034 duplicate v	alues found and	removed; 4	000 unique v	values remain	copus	2-s2.0-85	77651185	
18	Erhunse NErhunse, 1572265375 Annickia a	2024 Journal of	319	117269						_			copus	2-s2.0-85	73646920	
19	Vishwakai Vishwakai 572302675 A Review	2024 Current Tr	10	3 e1804232	15997				OK				copus	2-s2.0-85	76470737	
20	Dinata R.; Dinata, Rc 574665942 Repurposi	2024 Journal of	42	1	43	81	38	2 10.108	30/0 https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	71252925	
21	Bairagi B.; Bairagi, Bł 586885003 IMMUNE-I	2024 Immune-Boosting Nutraceuticals for Bett			333	354	21	0 10.120	01/9 ⁻ https://w	Book cha	ap Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	76361269	
22	Santhanar Santhanar 353202748 On a Firm	2024 Society an	37	1	131	142	11	0 10.108	30/0: https://w	Note	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	75104282	
23	Akhtari E.; Akhtari, El 561172628 Fenugreel	2024 Journal of	43	100816				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	79466870	
24	Jenipher (Jenipher, 580101497 Ethnobota	2024 Journal of	43	100826				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	180089062	
25	Rani J.; Dh Rani, Jyoti 572143434 Drug-indu	2024 Phytomec	122	155142				0 10.101	16/j. https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	75168471	
26	Rohilla M. Rohilla, M 586230548 Discussing	2023 Biomedici	169	115881				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Review	Final	All Open	/ Scopus	2-s2.0-85	77190867	
27	Pakkir Ma Pakkir Ma 586882707 A Review	2024 Current Tr	10	2 e3003232	109	116	7	0 10.21	74/2: https://w	Short su	rv Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	76277999	
28	Prakash R. Prakash, F57191158C Samuel Br	2024 Botany Letters						0 10.108	30/2: https://w	Article	Article in	n press	Scopus	2-s2.0-85	81710168	
29	Gorain B.; Gorain, Ba 556979995 Biomacror	2023 Internatio	253	126623				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	169809031	
30	Shakya A., Shakya, A: 585339992 Gnetum L.	2024 Genetic Re	71	1	29	38	9	0 10.100	07/s: https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	67780132	
31	Pal D.; Lal Pal, Dilipk 562176025 Medicinal	2024 Reference Part	1659		747	807	60	0 10.100	07/9 ⁻ https://w	Book cha	ap Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	80473417	
32	Priyadarsł Priyadarsł 586118323 Wild edib	2024 Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution					0 10.100	07/s: https://w	Article	Article in	n press	Scopus	2-s2.0-85	81235352		
33	Kannan M Kannan, N 582451961 Exploring	2024 Journal of	36	1 102956				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Article	Final	All Open	Scopus	2-s2.0-85	76407435	
34	Bansal K.; Bansal, Ke 572213832 Herbal Me	2024 Current Pl	25	2	179	195	16	0 10.21	74/1: https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	78211818	
35	Kakdiya R. Kakdiya, F587521483 Indigo nat	2024 Clinics and	48	1 102250				0 10.101	L6/j. https://w	Article	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	79110105	
36	Devi U.; B. Devi, Ush: 588040807 Ethno-me	2024 Ecological	35	2				0 10.127	775/Ihttps://w	Article	Final	All Open	Scopus	2-s2.0-85	81937526	
37	Sharma M Sharma, N 572209624 Ethnobota	2024 Biology Bulletin	1					0 10.113	84/S: https://w	Article	Article in	n press	Scopus	2-s2.0-85	81709325	
38	Shadab S.; Shadab, S 585501455 A Compre	2024 Current Tr	10	5 e0806232	98	116	18	0 10.217	74/2: https://w	Review	Final		Scopus	2-s2.0-85	76544198	
	sconus (by new interface) - 19	A											41		a	
	scopus (by new interface) - 15.	U											1			





SG Info From: sginfo@elsevier.com To: s.dutta@elsevier.com Cc: elango@rajagiri.edu 📕 Wed, 24 Jan at 11:53 am 🏠

Dear Dr.B.Elango,

I hope this finds you well. To assist on the discrepancy of Scopus results, kindly try using the old version of the Search Result Page.

To go to the old version, follow the screenshots below: -On the results page, please click 'see what's new'

Figure 3. Communication received from Scopus team



Figure 4. Caution showing the duplicate records existed

4. References

Baas, J.; Schotten, M.; Plume, A.; Côté, G.; Karimi, R. (2020). Scopus as a curated, highquality bibliometric data source for academic research in quantitative science studies. *Quantitative science studies*, 1(1), 377-386. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss a 00019

Burnham, J. F. (2006). Scopus database: a review. *Biomedical digital libraries*, 3(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-5581-3-1

Ceasar, S. A.; Ignacimuthu, S. (2023). CRISPR/Cas genome editing in plants: Dawn of Agrobacterium transformation for recalcitrant and transgene-free plants for future crop breeding. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 196, 724-730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2023.02.030

Elango, B.; Kozak, M.; Rajendran, P. (2019). Analysis of retractions in Indian science. Scientometrics, 119(2), 1081-1094. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-019-03079-y

Elango, B.; Matilda, S.; Martina Jose Mary, M.; Arul Pugazhendhi, M. (2023). Mapping the cybersecurity research: A scientometric analysis of Indian publications. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 63(2), 293-309. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2022.2058644

Krauskopf, E. (2018). An analysis of discontinued journals by Scopus. Scientometrics, 116(3), 1805-1815.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-03948-5

Mongeon, P.; Paul-Hus, A. (2016). The journal coverage of Web of Science and Scopus: a comparative analysis. *Scientometrics*, *106*, 213-228. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1765-5

Pranckutė, **R.** (2021). Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus: The titans of bibliographic information in today's academic world. *Publications*, *9*(1), 12. *https://doi.org/10.3390/publications9010012*

Singh, V. K.; Singh, P.; Karmakar, M.; Leta, J.; Mayr, P. (2021). The journal coverage of Web of Science, Scopus and Dimensions: A comparative analysis. *Scientometrics*, *126*, 5113-5142. *https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-03948-5*

Tennant, J. P. (2020). Web of Science and Scopus are not global databases of knowledge. *European Science Editing*, *46*, e51987. *https://doi.org/10.3897/ese.2020.e51987*

Thelwall, M. (2018). Dimensions: A competitor to Scopus and the Web of Science?. *Journal of informetrics*, *12*(2), 430-435. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2018.03.006*

Thelwall, M.; **Sud, P.** (2022). Scopus 1900–2020: Growth in articles, abstracts, countries, fields, and journals. *Quantitative Science Studies*, *3*(1), 37-50. *https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00177*

Vera-Baceta, M. A.; Thelwall, M.; Kousha, K. (2019). Web of Science and Scopus language coverage. *Scientometrics*, 121(3), 1803-1813. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-019-03264-z