[Slide stating problem]

Appropriateness – misusing DC to describe "people" or "places"

- Possible solution
 - Evaluate appropriateness element-by-element relative to entities described
 - At a minimum, Identifier and Type ("Person")?
 - Helps users decide utility of resources
 - Guidance, type-by-type, on appropriate usage
 - Use protocol to express preference for richer formats (see below)

Quality of metadata content

- "Junk" records make junky indexes
- Responses
 - Junk metadata problem broader than just DC!
 - Better tools, interfaces, partitioned workflows for metadata creation and quality control
 - Better guidelines for use of DC in specific communities
 - DC useful not just for indexing, but listing and browsing results

Quality – too-broad semantics

- Intrinsic problem with DC?
 - Broad, fuzzy buckets inevitably hold diversity
- Harvester must sometimes guess context
 - e.g., dc:identifier, dc:subject
 - Proliferation of more-specific
 elements/qualifiers would increase complexity
 - Rather, recommended constraints, e.g.,
 "dc:identifier should be a URI"

Effort – stopping short of providing richer metadata

Problem

- People with rich metadata dumbing down to DC
- People with no metadata make DC then stop, even if DC is not ideal

Responses

- Promote richer metadata parallel to DC
- Point to preferred metadata from about container for required DC format
- Or consider DC "non-preferred" by default (most cases)

Examples of richer formats

- LOM
- MARC
- Academic metadata format (AMF)
- RDF combination of multiple metadata formats
- OLAC

Group mood – summary

- Keep Dublin Core mandatory
- Encourage use of richer formats
- Support indication of "preferred" formats
- Constrain too-broad elements with usage recommendations ("use a URI for dc:identifier")
- Explain how to use DC with nondocument-like resources (just Identifier/Type?)

Issues

- Constraint that no solution can invalidate current implementations
- Issue of empty DC records that are actually compliant