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Abstract

Purpose: To provide some insight to the sharp increase in the scientific publications originating from Turkish academic and research institutions in the last few years. The underlying reasons, widespread access to literature through electronic databases being the most important, are also investigated.

Design/methodology/approach: Although it is difficult to gauge national scientific productivity, number of publications in electronic databases which index thousands of scientific journals can give an idea. Web of Science is one of them, and it is provided to the Turkish academic community along with several other databases by the national library consortium. Based on the Web of Science data, a comparative analysis was performed to investigate publications originated from Turkey and other countries.

Findings: The analysis revealed sharp increase in publications from Turkish institutions in the last few years. Considering the highest publishing 30 countries out of 190, the increase between 2001 and 2003 is 53.48 percent for Turkey, followed by 34.00 percent for China and 26.87 percent for South Korea.

Research limitations: Although one of the largest, only one of several databases was analyzed. Additionally, there are also several other indicators of scientific productivity such as books published and citations received.

Originality and value of the paper: The paper provides some insight to the importance of library consortia and efficient literature access it provides to the researchers.

Introduction

Measured in the quantity of publications, scientific productivity originating from Turkish academic institutions has increased substantially in the last few years. One of the most important reasons for this is the easy access to academic literature through electronic databases. This was facilitated by ANKOS (ANadolu universite kütüphaneleri KOnSorsiyumu - Anatolian University Libraries Consortium) [1]. Although some academic institutions had access to electronic databases before the establishment of ANKOS, the consortium enabled mass access mainly by providing bargaining power through bulk purchasing. Details such as author names and their institutions of publications indexed in the ISI Web of Science are published on
Established in 1996, Ulakbim is an academic service unit aiming at providing technological facilities such as computer networks, information technology support, and information and document delivery services, to meet the information requirements of Turkish universities and research institutions.

In Turkey all university Internet connections are provided free of charge by Ulakbim. Its unit responsible for this service is called Ulaknet (Turkish Academic Network - Network Technologies Department) which supports 191 nodes all over the country. It is estimated that there are 80,000 computers connected to Internet in these nodes which serve 65,000 academics and 500,000 students. Beyond academic institutions, these nodes include several other government organizations, National Library, and some R&D institutions. The technical capacity of Ulaknet has been gradually increased since its establishment in 1997. Currently it has a 622 Mb/sec connection to GEANT (European Academic Network), a 500 Mb/sec connection to Turkish Telecom Internet backbone from Istanbul, and a 1 Gb/sec connection to the backbone from Ankara. Its current capacity is fast and reliable for all institutions it serves. It is possible to monitor its network traffic on the Internet.

Scientific productivity

The measure of scientific production is the quality and quantity of scientific publication. From the publication point of view, besides scientific books, the quality of scientific activity manifests itself publishing in prestigious journals. The quantity of the published papers is regarded as a measure for the success of academic institutions and as a rough assessment for the scientific level of individual countries.

Although gauging scientific productivity of individual countries is a daunting task that involves hundreds of parameters, a rough approximation can be made through the quantity of papers published in scientific journals. Although there are several services for scientific bibliographic information, the most commonly used one is the ISI Web of Science which covers Social Science Citation Index (SSCI), Science Citation Index (SCI), and Arts and Humanities Citation Index (A&HCI). Thus, unlike many other electronic indexing services which are specific to one or a few disciplines, Web of Science covers almost all scientific disciplines. SCI covers approximately 3700 scientific journals (5800 in SCI Expanded) in about 100 disciplines, SSCI covers about 1700 journals in 50 disciplines, and A&HCI has full coverage of more than 1130 journals (and partial coverage of about 7000 journals).

Like most indicators of development, scientific publishing records of developing countries lag industrialized countries. Although this is mainly related with the level of scientific activity in these countries, inability to access to the literature and latest developments in a scientific discipline also plays an important role. In an environment where the prices for scientific journals are continually increasing, many developed country libraries have to discontinue some of their subscriptions. According to the Association of Research Libraries, the average unit cost for scientific journals has increased from USD 87 in 1986 to USD 267 in 1999. Even after correcting for inflation, this is a steep increase and one can imagine the difficulties developing country libraries have to tackle in this environment.

Provided that there is a proper Internet connection, electronic databases seem to be a remedy for the lack access to scientific publications in developing countries. In cases of Internet bandwidth bottlenecks, mirror sites can be established within the country for fast access such
as Taiwan’s InfoSpring Digital Library Project (Ke & Chang, 2000). Electronic databases offer several advantages compared to printed material. Firstly, most of them allow easy navigation within a text such as displaying the relevant reference or note and then returning back. Additionally, several databases allow navigation from one article to another, saving the reader to spend precious time for accessing the paper version in the library. According to research, the net result that the readers spend much less time locating and obtaining electronic library articles compared to printed material (King & Montgomery, 2002). Secondly, from the libraries’ point of view, electronic databases are much cheaper than printed material. Cox (2003) gives two reasons for this fact: Electronic databases are cheaper to produce than printed ones, and for printed material libraries have to incur higher operating costs such as space allocation. Acknowledging the lack of standards and the resulting difficulty of cost comparison of electronic and printed materials, Montgomery and King (2002) estimate that operational costs per use is USD 30 for bound print titles, USD 6 for current print subscriptions, and USD 0.45 for electronic databases. Another advantage of electronic databases is the complete set of facilities that computer offers. For example, searching for a word in an electronic text is very easy compared to printed material and electronic storage avoids paper usage whose production is a major source of pollution.

Most of the today’s library consortia including SELL (Southern European Libraries Link) which ANKOS is one of the members, have been established not for printed material, but for electronic databases [7]. However, it must be acknowledged that subscription to electronic databases is also expensive and their prices are also continually rising. Nevertheless, as stated, electronic access is cheaper and more convenient than access to printed journals.

**Scientific publishing in Turkey and in world**

Based on the data provided by the above-mentioned three indices of Web of Science, Ulakbim has developed a system which compiles detailed publishing and citation reports and publishes them on the web [8]. The data in Web of Science cover all of the publications originated from all academic institutions in the world and Ulakbim compiles the part of it that is relevant to Turkish institutions. As at the end of February 2005, the data compiled dates from 1973 to August 2004. Thus, year-by-year comparison on data is meaningful until the end of 2003. According to Ulakbim, the most important difficulty in this process is the lack of standards in institution names such as existence of a faculty name instead of a university and typos which are more common for non-English environments. Thus, Ulakbim warns about the accuracy of total data for individual institutions, but inaccuracy for country names on which this analysis is based should be minimal. Papers which are written by more than one author are counted as one for the countries of the each author’s institutions. For example, a paper which is written by a Turkish and an UK author is counted one for each country. A paper with two or more authors from the same country is counted as one publication for that country. Based on the Ulakbim data, Table 1 shows the yearly publication numbers originating from the institutions in Turkey and the world total between 1998 and 2003 as well as rates of increase. Due to the duplication because of the co-authored papers, figure shown in “world total publications” row is much more than the actual. Hence, this figure is meaningful only for comparison of individual countries.
Table I. Quantity of publications originated from Turkish academic institutions and the world total

Table 1 shows that the quantity of scientific publishing originated from Turkey has increased much faster than the increase in total world number. The sharp increase after 2000 is clearly related with the access to the scientific journals through ANKOS. Turkish academics, many of whom did not have much opportunity to access the latest developments in their fields, seem to have benefited extensively from the electronic databases provided by ANKOS. Although there has been steady increase in the publications from Turkey for two decades, the sharpest increase is in the 2001-2003 period.

The Ulakbim data also facilitates to compare the performance of individual countries in the same period. Table 2 shows the number of publications for each country in this period and the ratio of increase from 2001 to 2003. There are more than 190 countries in the Ulakbim data, and only the highest 30 in 2003 are shown in the table due to space considerations. The data are sorted according to the column of “2003 publications”.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>388,325</td>
<td>31.83%</td>
<td>391,613</td>
<td>30.42%</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>103,975</td>
<td>8.52%</td>
<td>102,277</td>
<td>7.94%</td>
<td>-1.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>82,185</td>
<td>6.74%</td>
<td>88,372</td>
<td>6.86%</td>
<td>7.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>82,797</td>
<td>6.79%</td>
<td>83,089</td>
<td>6.45%</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>57,043</td>
<td>4.68%</td>
<td>57,557</td>
<td>4.47%</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>37,451</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
<td>50,184</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>34.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>46,144</td>
<td>3.78%</td>
<td>48,823</td>
<td>3.79%</td>
<td>6.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>40,027</td>
<td>3.28%</td>
<td>43,492</td>
<td>3.38%</td>
<td>8.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>27,680</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>30,476</td>
<td>2.37%</td>
<td>10.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>27,816</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>30,070</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
<td>8.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>26,820</td>
<td>2.02%</td>
<td>26,061</td>
<td>2.02%</td>
<td>-2.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>23,906</td>
<td>1.96%</td>
<td>25,796</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>7.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>19,723</td>
<td>1.62%</td>
<td>22,777</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
<td>15.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>17,828</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
<td>22,619</td>
<td>1.76%</td>
<td>26.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>18,227</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
<td>18,392</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>16,794</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
<td>18,059</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>7.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>14,066</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>16,425</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
<td>16.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>12,559</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>10.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>11,909</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
<td>13,775</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
<td>15.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>12,075</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>13,502</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>11.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>11,753</td>
<td>0.96%</td>
<td>12,554</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>7,812</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
<td>11,990</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>53.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>9,429</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>9,932</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>5.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>9,478</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>9,874</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>4.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>8,813</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>9,018</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>6,487</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>7,536</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>16.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>6,319</td>
<td>0.52%</td>
<td>6,830</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>8.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>6,082</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>6,261</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>5,185</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>5,732</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>10.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5,484</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>5,680</td>
<td>0.44%</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World total</td>
<td>1,220,145</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1,287,379</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>5.51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table II. Quantity of publications in the highest 30 countries and rate of increase between 2001 and 2003*

The table shows that the highest increase between 2001 and 2003 is in the Turkey originated publications by 53.48 percent. The second highest figure is 34.00 percent of China and the third is 26.87 percent of South Korea. The increase in total world number is 5.51 percent during that period.
A library consortium is the union of two or more libraries in order to satisfy their needs and share their resources. Since there are similarities among the needs, resources, objectives, and target populations of the research libraries, a library consortium creates some sort of economies of scale. Such a union of forces is becoming increasingly important today, because all over the world libraries suffer from budget cuts while paper and electronic material prices are continually on the rise.

The nature of the activity of the libraries is an important factor for the tendency to cooperate and establishing consortia. Unlike many other service activities, libraries do not have a strong competition among themselves, because their target populations are usually divided along organizational or geographical boundaries. An academic conducts his/her research mainly through his/her own institution's library and a local community usually uses the local library for information needs.

The main activities of a library consortium are the share of equipment, services, and personnel; interlibrary loans; developing common collections; and agreements for bulk acquisitions of paper and electronic material. The consortia are usually economically motivated, and in today's world most of them are formed with the objective of increasing the bargaining power for accessing expensive electronic databases.

Initial attempts for library consortia started in the US at the end of the nineteenth century. The common catalogue program of the Library of Congress in the 1900s can be regarded as the first consortium. This consortium aimed to provide a common catalogue system to all libraries in the US. This was followed by the first academic libraries consortium of Triangle Research Libraries Network in North Carolina which initially involved three libraries. With the advent of the computers in the 1960s, many libraries joined their forces for acquiring expensive library automation systems of that era. This became a model for today's consortia which are formed by the formal agreements of several libraries (Bostick, 2001). With the average number of 186 members, the US library consortia are the oldest and the largest in the world. European library consortia have 83 members on the average [9].

After an initial meeting in 1997, International Coalition of Library Consortia (ICOLC) was founded with the aim of establishing the consortia of library consortia all over the world. ICOLC is an example of “superconsortium” (Bostick, 2001) and it has several national consortia members as well as regional consortia that have been formed by the consortia from more than one country. One of the regional consortia is SELL which is a consortium from five countries, namely Turkey (ANKOS), Greece (HEAL Link - Hellenic Academic Libraries Link), Italy (CASPUR - Consorzio Interuniversitario per le Applicazioni di Supercalcolo Per Universita e Ricerca, INFER - Italian National Forum on Electronic information Resources, CIBER - Coordinamento Interuniversitario, Basi dati & Editoria in Rete, CILEA - Consorzio Interuniversitario per le Tecnologie dell' Informazione e della Comunicazione), Spain (CBUC - Consorci de Biblioteques Universitaries de Catalunya, CM - Consorcio Madroo, CBUA - Consorci de Bibliotèques Universitaris de Andalucia), and Portugal (Biblioteca do conhecimento online, Fundao para a Computao Cientifica Nacional, Agencia para a Sociedade do Conhecimento, and Universidade de Aveiro).
Consortium of academic libraries in Turkey

The initial efforts that lead to the establishment of a library consortium in Turkey started in 1999 when one state and three private universities signed an agreement with the database provider Ebsco to acquire two electronic databases. The next year, seven more state universities and Ulakbim joined the agreement. The same year, nine academic institutions signed a deal with Academic Press for the IDEAL database. The agreement of several institutions with the providers ISI, Ebsco, American Mathematical Society, and Academic Press for bulk acquirements in May 2001 led to the foundation of ANKOS. The management structure of ANKOS is formed by librarian and academic volunteers from several university libraries all over Turkey. The consortium has a Steering Committee of nine persons and five working groups, namely Site Licensing, User Statistics, User/Librarian Training, Promotion and Organization, Open Access and Institutional Archives. There is also a research group working on electronic books. One person in the Steering Committee acts as chairperson of the organization. The consortium has also several liaison librarians from member institutions for every database provider. Liaison librarians are responsible for the communication between members and provider companies during the trial period and actual usage. They also determine and fix technical problems for their databases, provide documentation for the users, and notify ANKOS coordinator in the Steering Committee for problems encountered. The coordination office is in Middle East Technical University in Ankara. ANKOS makes several deals with the database providers and any university library that has acquired an electronic database through ANKOS is regarded as a member of the consortium. (Karasozen & Lindley, 2004).

ANKOS has established its main mission as to provide cost effective solutions for electronic library products to Turkish universities and the access of researchers and students to the global information network. It determined six activity areas to accomplish that mission:

- Maintaining liaison with the providers and assessing offers,
- Negotiating the deals and acquiring database usage licenses,
- Managing the agreements,
- Analyzing the usage statistics,
- Developing an awareness about ANKOS among academics and government officials,
- Training librarians and users.

In future, ANKOS plans to extend its activities to library automation systems, printed material acquirements, and interlibrary loans.

Mainly due to the activities of ANKOS, Turkish academic institutions had an accelerated pace of electronic database acquirements since 1999. Figure 1 depicts this trend.
ANKOS signed agreements with 25 database providers in 2004 and 2005. These agreements involved a total of 41 research databases from which one is electronic books. Subscription to some databases discontinued and some new ones were subscribed in these years. In 2005 alone, 83 libraries acquired electronic materials through ANKOS with the total cost of USD 14 million. The databases provided by ANKOS are shown in Figure 2 as a screenshot from their web page.

Figure 1. Number of ANKOS members and the number of databases acquired
An important activity of ANKOS was to develop Turkish National Site License (TRNSL) model which is about the principles in usage licensing. The model was prepared by Site Licensing Group and was adopted by the ANKOS general assembly in 2002. The site license agreements are negotiated according to this model since 2003. TRNSL combines the principles of some other consortium's licensing model with the specific conditions of Turkish libraries. TRNSL provides scalability for joining new members, protects the consortium against misuse or failure of a member or a provider to conform to the rules, and perhaps most importantly, refers to the Turkish courts and legislation in case of a conflict in future (Lindley, 2003).

The benefits of ANKOS are manifold in a developing country like Turkey. Like most of their counterparts in foreign countries, Turkish universities suffer from budget cuts, and the libraries of most of them have to provide service with meager resources. As at the beginning of 2005 there are 53 state and 24 private universities in Turkey 48 of which were founded in or after 1992. This means that more than half of the Turkish university libraries had to develop collections from scratch in near past. Considering their limited resources, this is a formidable task for almost all of them. In this special situation, electronic databases proved to be very useful not only for cost efficiency, but also for providing access to the past issues of scientific journals. With their backward-encompassing characteristic, they offer a suitable solution to the libraries of the new universities. Through ANKOS, researchers in the country can access to the current and past issues of thousands of academic journals and scientific books. In doing so, the consortium provides the libraries cost-efficiency that they would never attain individually.
All database providers keep usage statistics. However, there is no standard of format or content for keeping the statistical data among themselves. This makes it difficult to see the big picture such as the total usage by individual institutions or researchers. Nevertheless, the usage statistics of database providers give an idea about the intensity of usage in Turkey and how this might reflect into the sharp increase in publications. The data below were provided by a Steering Committee member of ANKOS (2005) and they were compiled from the usage statistics of the two of the providers, namely Elsevier and Web of Science.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elsevier</td>
<td>1,188,768</td>
<td>3,269,486</td>
<td>4,541,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td>821,730</td>
<td>1,328,973</td>
<td>1,388,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table III. Number of usage of electronic databases in Turkey*

**Discussion**

It must also be stated that an important factor for the steep increase in the publications originated from Turkey is the change in the regulation for academic promotions in 2000. The Turkish higher education system is governed by the Council of Higher Education, an autonomous body of trustees. According to a regulation of the Council in 2000, academic promotions are based on objective criteria where several disciplines require publishing in journals indexed in Web of Science for academic promotions. Although the new regulation had an important effect on the steep increase in publishing after 2000, it is difficult to tell whether this factor had more or less effect than access to the electronic databases through ANKOS. However, it is certain that the motivation of Turkish academics for publishing would not mean much without proper access to the scientific publications in their disciplines. Therefore, it could be argued that an effective working environment has been established with demand formed by the new requirements for academic promotion and supply mainly provided by electronic databases.

An important limitation of the data in Tables 1 and 2 is that they do not consider the countries' populations. Thus, although they are good for understanding the trends longitudinally, they do not mean much for comparing the performances of individual countries. Due to the large population of Turkey (73 million in 2005), its rank would be lower if the ranking were based on a more meaningful measure such as publications per 1000 people.

**Conclusion**

Library consortia facilitate effective resource sharing among the libraries. Their importance is increasing all over the world where libraries face significant budget cuts and the prices of electronic and printed materials are continually increasing. In this environment, library consortia can take the benefit of electronic access to scientific material. This is particularly important for developing countries where resources are scarce and there is no sign of closing of the gap with the industrialized countries. Although this gap manifests itself at every level including ICT, the very ICT can be an effective tool for closing the gap in some other areas.
Digital divide among the countries and within a country is subject to a heated debate in today’s world. Optimists argue that the gap between information-rich and information-poor is decreasing within some individual countries like the USA (Compaine, 2001) and among developing and industrialized countries (Castells, 2001) while pessimists concern that the rate of ICT diffusion to the less privileged parts of the world is less than ideal and the gap is widening rather than closing (Campbell, 2001). Although the convictions and findings about digital divide are contradictory, it could be safely argued that ICTs and the Internet offer unprecedented opportunities to developing countries provided that they are used effectively. As shown in this article, providing access to the scientific journals and books led to significant academic productivity increase in Turkey. Such an increase is important in a developing country context and such practices must be replicated for other areas where ICT can be used as an effective tool for development and for averting social exclusion.
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